Jaswal, Gagandeep

From: City Clerks Office
Sent: 2024/10/18 1:52 PM
To: Jaswal, Gagandeep; Urquhart, Chandra
Subject: FW: [EXTERNAL]Opposition to Proposed High-Density Mixed Residential Development in Cleaveview Estates (Regarding 0 Mississauga Road (File # OZS-2024-0051))

[redacted] [redacted]

[redacted]

From: sagar trivedi <[redacted]>
Sent: 2024/10/18 12:36 PM
To: City Clerks Office <City.ClerksOffice@brampton.ca>
Subject: [EXTERNAL]Opposition to Proposed High-Density Mixed Residential Development in Cleaveview Estates (Regarding 0 Mississauga Road (File # OZS-2024-0051))

Caution: This email originated from outside the organization. Do not click links or open attachments that you do not trust or are not expecting.

Sagar Trivedi
[redacted]
October 18, 2024

City Clerks Office
Brampton, ON

Subject: **Opposition to Proposed High-Density Mixed Residential Development in Cleaveview Estates (Regarding 0 Mississauga Road (File # OZS-2024-0051))**

Dear Brampton Planning Department,

I am writing on behalf of concerned residents in the Cleaveview Estate neighborhood regarding the proposed high-density mixed residential development in our area. We strongly oppose this project for a number of critical reasons that we believe will significantly impact our community's well-being, infrastructure, and property values.

1. Lack of Adequate Infrastructure: Our community currently lacks the necessary infrastructure to support such a dense population increase. The roads are already stressed, and with no plans for significant upgrades, this project will only exacerbate traffic congestion and increase safety risks for pedestrians and drivers alike. Our neighborhood was designed to accommodate low-density, detached homes, and adding a high-density complex without proper road expansion or traffic solutions is irresponsible and shortsighted.

2. Insufficient Green Space and Recreational Facilities: As of today, our area does not have enough parks or recreational facilities to serve a high-density population. This will inevitably lead to overcrowded parks, which reduces the quality of life for current residents, especially families with children. The proposed development does not account for the increased demand for green spaces and community parks, which are critical for maintaining a livable and vibrant community.

3. Property Values and Neighborhood Character: The proposed high-density housing conflicts with the character of our neighborhood, which is currently composed of single-family detached homes. Such a drastic shift in housing type will not only alter the look and feel of the community but will also negatively affect property values for existing homeowners. Our neighborhood was developed with a specific vision that attracted current residents, and we believe this project threatens the character and appeal of our area.

4. Strain on Public Services and Schools: Increased population density will also place undue strain on our public services, including schools, emergency services, and sanitation. Without significant investment in these areas, we fear that service levels will decline, further diminishing the quality of life for both current and future residents.

We urge the city to reconsider or significantly modify the scope of this development to align with the character of the existing neighborhood and ensure that adequate infrastructure, green space, and public services are planned for and developed concurrently. Failing to address these concerns will have long-lasting negative effects on our community and the broader area.

We are not opposed to thoughtful development; however, we believe this project in its current form is too dense and will create more problems than benefits. We respectfully request that you consider the points raised by concerned residents and look for more balanced, sustainable alternatives.

Thank you for your attention to this important matter. We look forward to a constructive dialogue on how to ensure that future development works for everyone in the community. Sincerely,

Sagar Trivedi
[redacted]