
Subject: RE: [EXTERNAL]File #OZS-2020-0014 - Area Resident Petition Opposing the Proposed Development Plan

From: Randy Sampath <>
Sent: 2020/11/30 5:03 PM
To: Medeiros, Martin - Councillor <Martin.Medeiros@brampton.ca>; Bowman, Jeff - Councillor <Jeff.Bowman@brampton.ca>; Deibler, Nicholas <Nicholas.Deibler@brampton.ca>; City Clerks Office <City.ClerksOffice@brampton.ca>
Cc: navdeep dhaliwal <>; Claire Sampath <>;
; [C](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL]File #OZS-2020-0014 - Area Resident Petition Opposing the Proposed Development Plan

Dear Sir/Madam of : Wards 3&4 City and Region Councillors, Development Planner and City Clerk,

Please see the Attached FINAL PDF Document from the area Residents of Chinguacousy & Queen Community

Residents have signed a Petition and Strongly Oppose the Proposal Permit to Development of a 12-Storey Mixed used Apartment Building and Bylaw Amendment for Commercial use by Registered Owner 2613497 and Applicant Evans Planning Inc. File # OZS-2020-0014. Public Meeting Scheduled for December 7,2020.

You may have gotten Previous emails from other Residents/homeowners

This the Final Submitted Petition Document with Residents Individual Signatures (pages 8-26)

- File Name: #12 Storey Mixed Use Apartment Rev 2020.11.30 Final.PDF

We the Residents hope you take the time to read over our comments and concerns, before making your final decision in the approval process.

If you have any further questions please do not hesitate to contact us

I've CC Some other Residents in communication for email mail response.

From: Residents

Randy Sampath:

Navdeep Dhaliwal:

Claire Sampath:

Mayor Mody:

Chriag Lapsiwala:

12 Storey Mixed Use Apartment Building
Petition/Concern letter Opposing the Proposed
Development Plan File #OZS-2020-0014

Date: 30th November, 2020

Brampton City Hall,
2 Wellington St W,
Brampton, ON
L6Y 4R2

Dear Sir/ Madam,

The proposed 12 storey apartment is very tall and wide for such a narrow property size. The proposed building dimensions is 23m x 82m (75 feet x 269 feet) and the building height is 46.45m or 152 feet and is extremely close to adjacent homes, with another Similar Building in the Future Tertiary Plan at 1119 Queen St W as Proposed in (RO_ Tertiary Plan(OPT 1,2,3.PDF).

Listed below are the concerns that pertain to residents of the community:

1. ZONING CONCERNS AND SIZE OF HIGH-DENSITY BUILDING

a. Zoning Concerns:

- The proposed building is being built in an area zoned for low-density development.
- When the residential area was being developed in 2008 year, this location was designated for existing or future residential in which the property was zoned for “low density 2 residential character area 3”, based on the City of Brampton Community Design Guidelines for Credit View Crossing (city file number P26SB.45-5.001 subdivision file number 21T-01100B (*See Fig 1, p. 55-56*).
- When Some Residents Purchased in 2013-2014 (dates me vary for everyone) the proposed site was Still Listed as Existing or Future Residential (nothing about Future Commercial Development) *See Fig 2*
- Therefore, when residents invested in homes in this area, it was bought on the basis that the community area was zoned for low density dwelling (detached, semi-detached or town homes) not High rise Building with another one right next to it coming in the Future.
- Where is the Planning for the Residents of these Building - No Park space or easy access to nearby shopping or even a recreational community center available.
- Building is outside the RPOP 2031 Regional Urban Boundary area: info from Planning Justification Report 2613497 Ontario Inc prepared by Evans Planning inc May2020 pg.23) *see Figure 11*

b. Height Concerns:

- Residents believe that the proposed 12 storey building design is too large and dominant for this site. Especially because the current community design is currently Zoned for low Volume single detached homes and semi-detached homes.

2. PRIVACY CONCERNS

Based on the height of the proposed building, the existing residential homes and personal living space will become an invasion to residential privacy.

- Privacy Concerns: The 12 storey building height will tower over homes which are located 15m or 50 feet (app) to neighbouring homes. This will cause 24/7 privacy concerns due to the close proximity of the building location and the neighbouring personal spaces such as: bedrooms, bathrooms, kitchens, backyards and porches.

3. POLLUTION

a. Noise Pollution:

- Vehicles entering and exiting a 3 level parking garage which are 10 meters or 35 feet from the Closest Home front Porch (makes it unusable with out breathe in of exhaust fumes)
- Constant sound of HVAC will be greater than 65dbA during the daytime as stated in the Document 5.2 indoor living Area & Ventilation Requirements
- Building Exhaust Fans Drone Sound will be heard both day and night
- Daily commercial truck noise due to deliveries, garbage removal and residential moving in, will cause high level of noise due to engine and rear back-up warning sounds.
- 7th floor outdoor patio/roof lounge, 3rd floor private terrace, green space garden area for residents – will cause noise, to existing residents such as: loud talking, music and events which will be a constant noise for residents. Based on the Building Noise Feasibility Study prepared and submitted for Diam Queen Property on Feb-27-2020
- Predicted Sound Level 58db on 3rd and 7th Floor outdoor Patio's which is in excess of the MECP's Limit of 55db as stated in the Document 5.1 Outdoor Living Areas pg 8 this info if for the building residents isn't existing home owners
- IS existing Residents Considered in Noise when Building Noise Feasibility Study prepared and submitted for Diam Queen Property on Feb-27-2020 pg 15 is giving recommendations clauses for the property and tenancy.
- Concerns about noise from emergency response units and alarms affecting nearby residents. Considering the frequency in apartment buildings and occurrences.

b. Air Pollution:

- Air quality, cars, and AC units contribute greatly to poor air quality in the area.
- Residents are concerned with an increase in air pollution for their health.
 - o 3 level underground parking fan exhaust emissions.
 - o Exhaust fumes from traffic in and out of the building (residential and commercial). Daily vehicle traffic in and out the building for 212.9 resident parking spots, won't this add to higher level Carbon monoxide ingestion to existing residents.

4. SAFETY AND EVACUATION CONCERNS

- a. In the event of fire and evacuation, where will the residents muster point be located in relation to the residential homes? Note that Queen Street is considered a main core and runs adjacent to the building. In cases of emergency, Queen Street will be used by emergency vehicles, will Queen St be Blocked each and every time a fire alarm goes off ?
- b. Bearing in mind, that Queen Street still has overhead high voltage wires on the northern side of the building.

5. SCHOOL AND RECREATIONAL FACILITIES

- a. Current zoning for schools (Churchville Public School & Brampton Centennial H.S.) the two main public schools zoned for this area, is well over capacity, currently using Portables, this building and future one is now adding 170 + Families to existing Public School, is the City Co-ordinating with School Boards for expansion.

6. SUN AND SHADE CONCERNS

- a. Due to the height of the proposed 12 storey apartment, (46.45m or 152 feet) it will block the evening sunlight affecting the residents of Allegro Street, Byville Court, and surrounding streets.
- b. Due to blocking of sunlight, building will cast evening shadow from approximately 2PM – sunset Affecting Approximately 30% of neighbourhood homes, Please look at Figures #4 Shadow Cast.
- c. Due to the height of the building it will block the natural passage of air/wind circulation.

Resident concerns relating to blocking of sunshine and wind:

- a. The lack of sunshine and the effects of shade may cause a strain on resident mental health.
- b. Resident wellness may be impacted as building will be blocking the sunshine when children, young adults, and seniors who are at home each day, take walks, and come out for exercise.

No Right to block the Sun

- a. Due to the close proximity to pre-existing residential properties, the city should consider a close review and analysis of the proposed development. see Figure 3 from
- b. The new builder has no right to be the ultimate blocker of the sun on Allegro street, Byville court, and Fitzgibson Street if this building is constructed.
- c. Our city should not allow a builder power over residents potentially affecting their way of life and health. The views, atmosphere, and environment of the area will be completely devastated by the 12 storey apartment building. When residents look in the direction all they will see is a huge building that is towering over homes. No builder should be given that power to build a structure that will affect existing residents and control of natural options.

7. ECOLOGICAL IMPACT

- a. The proposed building will affect the natural views, atmosphere and environment of the green space habitat.
- b. Currently the surrounding area wildlife include: deer (7), coyotes(3), wild turkeys (4) and Canadian goose that returns every year to the pond in the area, which is about 200 metres from proposed building.
- C. - Canada resident goose uses the local Creek pond with long grasses, shrubs and other dense tall plants & Terra Cota park open area as their everyday routine crossing Queen Street from south to North and back & forth. this 12-story high-rise building will obstruct their pathway & may cause their habitat loss.

8. ROAD CAPACITY ON CORE STREET

- a. Where this building is zoned (Queen Street) it will directly increase traffic on a major city artery. Current proposed building is zoned, Increased levels of traffic on Queen street that is not equipped to handle this extended volume of cars.
- b. The downtown Brampton core currently is reduced to a one vehicle lane and the current proposed development is located only 3 major intersections from Four Corners.
- c. With the influx of 213 vehicles utilizing Queen Street, this will cause major traffic only adding to the daily rush hour volumes.

9. PROPERTY VALUE

Last but not least Property Values, Having a Apartment building in a Detached and Semi Detached neighbourhood only De-values Residents investment currently valued at approximately 900k to 1.2 million approximately.


10. OTHER IDEAS AND RECCOMENDATIONS for Site.

- a. Here are some Residents recommendations for this site Low Density (detached, semi-detached, town homes)


An aerial perspective rendering of a modern, multi-story glass building. The building features a prominent glass facade and a rooftop terrace with greenery. It is situated next to a residential neighborhood with houses and a road with cars. The building is surrounded by trees and landscaping.



Figure3 - Sunset will be Blocked/lost to existing residents



File Date 2008



Note : Property Listed as Existing or Future Residential (nothing about Future Commercial Development)

File Date 2012




Figure #4 - 12 Storey Building Shadow Cast April in peak summer will be greater

Figure 11: Regional Structure Plan (Schedule D)










Figure11 - Building is outside the RPOP 2031 Regional Urban Boundary area: info from Planning Justification Report 2613497 Ontario Inc prepared by Evans Planning inc May2020 pg.23)








Petition Signatures

Printed Name	Signature	Home #	Address
Chirag Lapsiwala			
Binda Lapsiwala			
Surbodh Lapsiwala			
Usha Lapsiwala	U.S.L		
Ram Rudra			
Sunitha Rudra			
Mandeep Dhalwal	Mandeep		
Parneet Dhalwal	Parneet		
Navdeep Dhalwal	<u>Navdeep</u>		
Gurbachan Dhalwal			
Harbans Dhalwal	Harbans Kaur		
Ranjit dhalwal	R.D		
Gurbin Dhalwal	G.D		














Petition Signatures

[illegible]

Petition Signatures

Printed Name	Signature	Home #	Address
ANBALAGAN PERUMAL			
RANJAN ANBALAGAN	Ranjit Dilday		
PREETHI ANBALAGAN			
ARFATH ANBALAGAN			
Vikram Ahuja			
Neem Ahuja	NA		
Manan Ahuja			
Somya Ahuja	Somya		
Mayur Modi			
Kiran Modi	Kiran		
Ramesh Modi	R.L.M		
Jyoti Modi	J.R. Modi		
Judevil Anand			















Petition Signatures

Printed Name	Signature	Home #	Address
VISHRUT PATEL			
PRASANNA PATEL			
Harshad Patel			
Paul Grabowski			
Gertrude Grabowski			
Se			
Neepa Patel			
Vidisha Patel			
ANAKAN RASAMAN THAN			
YALINI GODAKAPILLAI			
Satya Patel			
Bhaskar Patel			
GURPREET SINGH			
DILPREET KATTA			

Arsh D

Arsh






Petition Signatures

Printed Name	Signature	Home #	Address
Milit Pandya			
Bhumika Pandya			
Ashesh Patel			
Ankit Mehta			
Vishal Joshi			
Manish Dave			
Mandakini Dave			
Shruti Pandya			
Jalpa Mehta			
Jay Desai			
Shivani Desai			
Narendra Patel			
Pratima Kapoor			
MONIKA			




Milit Pandya -



Petition Signatures

Printed Name	Signature	Home #	Address
MINESH NAIK			
DIPTI NAIK	Naik D.M.		
NIRMAL NAIK	Naik		
TULSI NAIK	Naik		
FORAM NAIK	Naik		
HARSH NAIK	H. R. Naik		
Dhruv Sharma			
Vinod Kumar			
AIKESH PALL			
HEMAL PATIL	Hemal		
Stanley AGAMA			
Umesh Patel	Umesh		
Madhu Patel	M. Patel		
Vikas Malhotra	Vikas		





Petition Signatures

Printed Name	Signature	Home #	Address
VASANT SOLANKI			
MANISHA SOLANKI	Manisha Solanki		
RAVIE SANKAR	Ravi Sankar		
CLAIRE SANKAR	Claire Sankar		
GENEVIEVE SANKAR	Genevieve Sankar		
AMELIA SANKAR	Amelia Sankar		
Ashok Patwadi	Ashok Kumar		
Shivali Patwadi	Shivali		
Amik Sethi	Amik Sethi		
GAZAL	Gazal		
Hitesh Sethi	Hitesh Sethi		
Samay Madam	Samay Madam		
INDRAJEET INDRAJEET PATEL			
Nitam Patel			

Petition Signatures

[illegible]

Petition Signatures

Printed Name	Signature	Home #	Address
Hitesh Prajapati			
Tansukhbhai Prajapati			
Veenaben Prajapati			
Divya Mistry			

Petition Signatures

[illegible]

Petition Signatures

[illegible]

Petition Signatures

[illegible]

Petition Signatures

[illegible]

Petition Signature

RE: 12 Storey Mixed Used Apartment Building : Plan File #OZS-2020-0014

[illegible]

Petition Signatures

[illegible]

Petition Signatures

[illegible]

Petition Signatures

[illegible]

Petition Signatures

[illegible]

Petition Signatures

The Above Residents has signed a Petition and Strongly Oppose the Proposal Permit to development of a 12-Storey Mixed used Apartment Building and Bylaw Amendment for Commercial use by Registered Owner 2613497 and Applicant Evans Planning Inc.

Thank you & Regards,

Residents of Chinguacousy & Queen Community