Results of Public Meeting (June 8, 2020) C08E17.012 & 21T-19009B

Members Present via Electronic Participation:

Regional Councillor M. Medeiros – Wards 3 and 4 (Chair) Regional Councillor P. Fortini – Wards 7 and 8 (Vice-Chair)

Regional Councillor P. Vicente – Wards 1 and 5 Regional Councillor R. Santos – Wards 1 and 5 Regional Councillor M. Palleschi – Wards 2 and 6 Regional Councillor G. Dhillon – Wards 9 and 10 City Councillor D. Whillans – Wards 2 and 6 City Councillor J. Bowman – Wards 3 and 4 City Councillor C. Williams – Wards 7 and 8 City Councillor H. Singh – Wards 9 and 10

Members Absent: nil

Staff Present: D. Barrick, Chief Administrative Officer

Planning and Economic Development:

R. Forward, Commissioner

A. Parsons, Director, Development Services

R. Conrad, Director of Building, and Chief Building Official

Yin Xiao, Development Planner

Kelly Henderson, Development Planner Rob Nykyforchyn, Development Planner

Cynthia Owusu-Gyimah, Development Planner

Dan Watchorn, Development Planner

City Clerk's Office:

P. Fay, City Clerk

C. Gravlev, Deputy City Clerk

S. Danton, Legislative Coordinator

Note: In consideration of the current COVID-19 public health orders prohibiting public gatherings of more than 5 people and requirements for physical distancing between persons, in-person attendance at this Committee of Council meeting was limited to Members of Council and essential City staff only. Physical distancing was maintained in Council Chambers at all times during the meeting.

The meeting was called to order at 7:00 p.m., and adjourned at 8:32 p.m.

There were no members of the public who had pre-registered delegations for this meeting.

The following issues were raised by the Public through correspondence received for this application:

Missing Information about the Proposal

Issue:

A resident noted that there is information missing from the file, and it is important to know in the preparation of their response for the June 8 Planning & Development Committee meeting. They asked why the lot depth was mentioned but not the lot width.

Response:

Staff provided a link to the City of Brampton Planning website that provides further details about the proposed development.

Process for Zoning By-law or Official Plan Amendment Applications

Issue

In an additional correspondence from the same resident, further questions were asked including what the initial/original plan was. They also asked what the city process for amendment applications is and shared the following points for what they believe is the process:

- 1. If it is legitimate and make sense.
- 2. All the required information has been provided or something is missing
- 3. What type of area was it?
- 4. Initial sale/marketing advertisement provided to existing home owners

Response:

Staff explained what is proposed to be built by the developer (ie. Detached homes) and why a zoning amendment is required to permit the envisioned development.

The city process for a zoning by-law amendment application includes providing a formal notice of complete application, circulating it with departments for comments as well as preparing information report to discuss technical considerations. This stage would evaluate the proposed application against items noted by the resident including the type of area and if it is suitable. Staff then report back to the Planning and Development Committee with the results of the Public Meeting and a staff recommendation once the application has been circulated and evaluated further.

Scheduling

Issue:

The resident requested that the meeting be cancelled until more details are provided on the proposed amendment application.

Response:

The scheduling of meetings are done in coordination with the City Clerk's Office and if any changes are requested, City Clerk Staff are to be contacted at the email and phone number noted on the Public Notice.