

Myers, Jeanie

From: Hali Harry-Paul
Sent: 2021/07/08 3:23 PM
To: Myers, Jeanie; City Clerks Office
Cc: 'Raj Harry-Paul'; 'MARIA BRITTO'
Subject: [EXTERNAL]Re-submission of Concerns regarding Committee of Adjustment Applications

Caution: This email originated from outside the organization. Do not click links or open attachments that you do not trust or are not expecting.

Good afternoon, Jeanie;

I'm writing to request delegation to the Committee of Adjustment meeting on July 13th, 2021. Attendees will be my husband and I; and our friend, Maria Britto. This email also serves as our request to re-submit our concerns regarding the following applications, set before the Committee of Adjustment. These applications had been deferred from the June 1st meeting, due to a request from the Committee for an amendment to the consent to allow for a mutual access easement.

As these applications are still moving forward, then our concerns remain the same:

Regarding:

Application # A-2021-0103 (Minor variance)
Application # A-2021-0104 (Minor variance)
Application # B-2021-0004 (Application for Consent)

My husband – Rajesh Harry-Paul and I, as owners of the property at 142 Lloyd Sanderson Drive, directly behind the area being requested to be severed from 8871 Creditview Road, are seeking a **full refusal** of these applications. Our refusal is based on the following concerns:

- 1) The plans for this build were not based on a proper survey, and in their current state, **include our property**;
- 2) The rear yard setback requested in the variance, even if based on a proper survey, is **half the current minimum** requirement;
- 3) The side yard setback requested in the variance is **1/10** of what it should be in places – and I also believe the side yard survey also includes some of the neighbour's yard – we request that is reviewed by the committee
- 4) The new build being proposed (4400 sq ft), is almost **1.5 times** the size of our home, creating a feeling of being overwhelmed by this new structure – made even more significant by the shortened setback;
- 5) In order to build this new structure, every tree on that portion of the lot will need to be removed; destroying a tremendous amount of welcome shade and natural air and noise pollution reduction, and untold environmental impacts;
 - a. These are very large, mature trees that have a major part in the immediate ecosystem;
 - b. There are currently no applications to remove or injure these trees, filed with the City's Tree Preservation By-Law office – we insist this be reviewed;
- 6) Adding to the negative effects from the reduction of shade, the new structure would also create a reflection of the day's sun onto our home, for a significant amount of time each day (creating an oven-effect);
- 7) We purchased this particular home and lot, based on this specific location, and unique setting – this creates a tremendous change in the way our home feels.

Our recommendation, as stated above, is to fully refuse these proposed applications. If these applications are allowed to move forward, the resulting changes would significantly alter many elements of the neighbourhood. We recommend they seek approval to demolish and build a new structure where their current house sits. We are very passionate about ensuring this area remains as is, and will maintain our stance on this issue. Thank you for accepting these concerns on our behalf.

Sincerely,

Hali & Raj Harry-Paul

