Appendix F:

TMP Principles Scorecard – Torbram Evaluation

Project: Torbram Road (Queen St. to Steeles Ave.)

TMP Objectives and Principles Scorecard:

VISION for the Transportation + Connectivity:

In 2040, Brampton will be a mosaic of safe, integrated transportation choices and new modes, contributing to civic sustainability, and emphasizing walking, cycling, and transit.

MISSION for the Transportation Master Plan Review:

- Develop a decision making framework to inform the Transportation Master Plan and Capital Plan that is in line with the values of Vision 2040, equalizing all forms of transportation, Vision Zero, and Complete Streets – a safe, healthy, green and "people-oriented" Brampton.
- Adopt a pedestrian- first approach and decision-making hierarchy where Brampton's transportation agenda is walk first, then bike, transit, goods movement, shared vehicles and single occupant vehicle trips. The experience of the pedestrian becomes a key indicator in reframing and assessing projects.
- Refocus capital improvements from suburban, auto-oriented level of service improvements to more tactical improvements to enhance sustainable transportation options in urban centres and network wide.

CONVENTIONAL VALUES:

 Accommodate mobility travel demand due to growth by prioritizing auto infrastructure and road widening improvements to minimize peak period delay

ENHANCED VALUES:

- Travel Choice
- Equity
- Design
- Urban Future + Intensification
- Sustainability
- Public Health + Safety
- Collaboration

INTERIM DECISION-MAKING FRAMEWORK + SCORECARD:

TMP Principles	Yes	No
Enhance Mobility and Travel Options		

	T	T.,
Does the current project design to accommodate growth in a		No
more sustainable way, and is the project driven by		
sustainable infrastructure improvements?		
Does this project aim to make it easier to live without a		No
personal vehicle?		
Does this project reduce vehicle kilometers travelled (VKT)?		No
Advance Multi-Modal Transportation Equity		
Does this project prioritize in order: walking, cycling, transit,		No
goods movement, shared vehicles, private vehicles?		
Does this reduce right of way space for auto travel and		No
parking and reclaim it for other users and activities?		
Is the design speed of the road less than or equal to		No
50km/hr?		
Have lane widths been generally reduced to 3.5 m for curb		No
lane and 3.3 m for through lanes?		
Are there dedicated cycling facilities on both sides of the		No
road?		
Does the project improve pedestrian facilities – is the	Yes	No
sidewalk improved from the existing facility?		
Does the project improve pedestrian facilities - Does it meet	Yes	No
the minimum buffer of 1.0-1.5 metre buffer between active		
transportation facility and curb?		
Does the project improve pedestrian facilities - Does the		No
design improve the noted buffer to enhance the user		
experience of all ages and abilities?		
Does the project include pedestrian amenities – trees, shade,		No
pedestrian scale lighting, and rest area/benches?		1.10
Does this project improve the integration of transit stops with		No
safe pedestrian crossings, cycling connections and bicycle		1.10
parking?		
Integrate Transportation and Land Use Planning		
Does this project serve a strategic higher order transit corridor	Yes	
or a strategic node/intensification area/major transit station	103	
area as identified in the emerging Brampton Plan City		
Structure?		
Does this project prioritize short, local trips instead of long		No
haul commute trips? Will the project outcome increase the		INO
likelihood of short trips? Does the project provide the		
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
possibility to move from long haul to shorter trips?		No
Is the project necessary for development approvals and		No
planned growth? Will redesigning the project impact any		
development approvals?		N.L.
Does this project prioritize streetscaping and enhance a		No
sense of place **?		
**Does this project achieve components of great/attractive		
streets, contributing to neighbourhood character and identity?		

(I.e. Does the project include high quality public space, any	
neighbourhood specific design features i.e. neighbourhood	
specific signage, gateway features, painted murals,	
crosswalks, heritage/history preservation, enhanced	
landscaping or planters, wayfinding, mature trees, public	
seating, resident led place-making initiatives?)	
Does this project support a broader range of land use	No
typology (mix of uses and densities) and diversification of	110
land uses over time?	
Protect Public Health and Safety	
Is the project outcome going to improve the safety for	No
pedestrians relative to vehicle speed, compared to the current	110
design?	
Does this project reduce pedestrian crossing distances at	No
intersections?	
Does this project increase the number of mid-block crossings	No
and/or average spacing between crossings?	
Does the proposed design prioritize the resolution of existing	No
pedestrian safety issues over vehicle/driver safety issues?	
Improve Environmental Sustainability	
Does this project prioritize reduced single occupant vehicle	No
trips?	
Does this project prioritize a reduced environmental footprint	No
for travel?	
Does this project protect mature trees and natural heritage?	No
Does this project contribute net positively to the City's one	No
million trees program?	
Does this project reduce greenhouse gases?	No
Does this project improve air quality?	No
Are low impact development practices integrated as part of	No
the design of this project?	
Leverage Technology	
Has the project fully used technology, advanced traffic	No
management systems and transportation demand	
management measures to make more efficient use	
of/optimize the network?	
Has an interim strategy been considered to use traffic	No
management technology to increase efficiency of road in the	
shorter term?	
Emphasize Community Engagement and Collaboration	Ma
Has the project engaged residents and stakeholders in a	No
systematic way to communicate goals and objectives of the	
2040 Vision and how this project does or does not satisfy? Has the project design been engaged with residents within ≤	No
2 years	INU
Z years	

Has the project design been engaged with residents within ≤	No
5 years	