

APPENDIX 12

CORRESPONDENCE RECEIVED

City File Number: OZS-2019-0014

Katyal, Himanshu

From: Simran Deol <deol4560@mylaurier.ca>
Sent: 2020/03/20 5:05 PM
To: Katyal, Himanshu; Singh, Harkirat - Councillor; Dhillon, Gurpreet - Councillor; Brown, Patrick - Mayor; Maninder.Sidhu@parl.gc.ca
Subject: [EXTERNAL]OZS-2019-0014 Ward 10 amending official plan, zoning by law and proposed draft plan of subdivision

To whomever it may concern,

I hope you are doing well and staying safe. I have recently become aware of the new planning and development services by Georgian Humbervale Inc.,- Glen Schnarr & Associates. (File: OZS-2019-0014) - Ward 10. I am very surprised at how the city accepted the amendment to the green belt. Before this area developed, this zone was advertised as executive single-family detached homes. However, due to this new plan, the existing homeowners and the structure of the community will be negatively impacted since the new plan consists of townhomes and apartment units. It says that the reason for this build is to make it more accessible for people with needs I believe this is the wrong area and there are many other areas in Brampton that will be better suited for this plan. It also negatively affects our environment and the natural beauty of Brampton and a principle I thought you all would want to sustain instead of take advantage of to make more houses.

I strongly oppose to this new proposed plan and I know that many homeowners in my community would agree with my view.

Thanks,

Simran

Katyal, Himanshu

From: sanket.radadia@yahoo.com
Sent: 2020/03/20 5:31 PM
To: Katyal, Himanshu; Singh, Harkirat - Councillor; Dhillon, Gurpreet - Councillor
Cc: Brown, Patrick - Mayor; premier@ontario.ca
Subject: [EXTERNAL]City File # OZS-2019-0014 Ward 10 - Public Meeting during Pandemic?

Dear Himanshu/Councillors

I was surprised how city can accept the amendment to the green belt. Is city aware of the type of homes that this area/block have?

When our community was being developed our block was zoned and advertised as executive single family detached homes. There are no condominiums or townhomes in our block or the corresponding block.

Now due to some developers plan for this belt, the structure, ambiance and environment of the whole block is proposed to be changed to the detriment of the existing homeowners.

I strongly object to the proposed plan to change the zoning and developing of townhomes and condominiums in our area.

Me and many of my neighbors would like to attend this meeting if the new proposed plan is not cancelled. I am looking forward to response of your decision.

Thanks

Sanket Radadia
6137172227

Katyal, Himanshu

From: San Rad <sanket.radadia@yahoo.com>
Sent: 2020/11/10 10:50 PM
To: Katyal, Himanshu; Owusu-Gyimah, Cynthia
Subject: [EXTERNAL]REJECT THE CHANGE OF LAND USE - City file number: OZS-2019-0014

Follow Up Flag: Follow up
Flag Status: Completed

Good evening sir/madam,

I am a resident of Ward 10 and would like to request that the amendment for "change of land use" for the following Brampton City file number: OZS-2019-0014 be rejected. Many of my neighbors have also raised their voice to decline the change through either emails and/or petition to the city. For individuals who bought the luxury homes in the community paid premium prices and invested in living in non-congested area, as it was sold on the basis that the estate homes will have green spaces and no schooling in the area.

Also, building non-estate homes will bring the value of the houses down, for which the home owners paid the premium price. A compensation would need to be agreed upon between the city and home owner first. Currently, the infrastructure is not there either, as single lane roads on Mayfield is already overcrowded so additional residence will cause more traffic jams and may lead to more accidents.

I believe a lot of the residents in the area have moved to get away from the city congestions and have some greenery around for fresh air, healthy living and have some privacy. (Just far enough so they can enjoy family life and still close enough to commute to work)

Regards,

Sanket Radadia
6137172227

Katyal, Himanshu

From: Shaminder Kahlon <shaminder_sk@hotmail.com>
Sent: 2020/11/10 7:03 PM
To: Katyal, Himanshu; Owusu-Gyimah, Cynthia
Subject: [EXTERNAL]Residential proposal Mayfield and Mcvean

Follow Up Flag: Follow up
Flag Status: Completed

Good evening, I am writing to you today as a concerned resident of north east Brampton. I understand there is a proposal to have condo/town house/apartment buildings built on the south side of mayfield west of mcvean. I can't tell you how disappointed I am with this poorly devised plan. Once again the city of Brampton, by even considering such a development in an area that is supposed to be designated as an executive area, an area that can NOT accommodate the influx of residents, an area that does NOT have adequate public transit for such a project, and area that does NOT have the infrastructure to support such a project. I am not against this type of development as I understand the need for density but it is 100% not the right area for it. If we look at cities like Markham and Oakville you can tell they have a PLAN in place where it all makes sense. These types of developments at minimum are near a main road artery with easy and plentiful access to public transit. I strongly oppose this development and will be making my voice heard at the meeting. It's also very interesting to see the land is ALREADY being cleared. Makes me suspect that this "meeting" is just a farce and the decisions have already been made!

Shaminder Kahlon

Katyal, Himanshu

From: Sukh Dharni <sdharni8@hotmail.com>
Sent: 2020/10/26 1:10 PM
To: Katyal, Himanshu
Subject: [EXTERNAL]File: OZS-2019-0014

Afternoon Himanshu,

Hope you are well.

File: OZS-2019-0014

I am writing to let you know my disapproval for this File to proceed because we reside around the corner on Gordan Randle Drive and Mayfield into the Vales of Humber Estate Homes and have these types of mixed dwellings in close proximity - we are not happy with this even being considered.

Everyone in my sub-division has voiced their concerns and we are all on the same page when it comes to this file being declined and re-visited for larger estate homes.

Thanks,
Sukh Dharni

Katyal, Himanshu


From: Vales of Humber Life <info@voh.life>
Sent: 2020/03/22 2:54 PM
To: Dhillon, Gurpreet - Councillor
Cc: Katyal, Himanshu
Subject: [EXTERNAL]Re: City file number: OZS-2019-0014

Hello Councillor Dhillon,

I hope you and your family are well during these difficult times. I've met you a few times at our neighbourhood barbecues and you said to email you directly should we have any concerns.

I'm writing you in regards to the Application to Amend the Official Plan, Zoning By-law and Proposed Draft Plan of Subdivision, City file number: OZS-2019-0014, to build high density housing near Mayfield and McVean. We received notice about this and as a community are very much against this proposal.

The Vales of Humber Secondary Plan is very detailed and lays out what our community should and should not be. We all bought here under the assumption that the city will follow their approved plan which shows houses being built in the area in question. The image below shows that it is meant to have low density residential and executive transitional residential homes along with a small park and some greenspace. I read SPA50 prior to purchasing my home and it goes into great detail exactly the types of homes and even commercial buildings should be in this area. Even specific materials are listed. Nowhere did we see things such as stacked townhouses and a 5-storey apartment building.



While I do support the city's plan and the Brampton 2040 vision of more density, this is the wrong place to do it. This would not be in-keeping with the neighbourhood the city has built. In Brampton we have very few executive "upscale" neighbourhoods such as ours. We also are far on the outskirts of the city, making us very car dependent, especially with so few amenities in our area. None of these are what makes for a successful higher density development. There are many better places for densification such as the Queen street corridor like Vaughan has done.

This is a unique area that the city built with a vision, keep that vision alive. The residents as we are learning of the plan are getting angry about such a proposal being discussed. It feels like a slap in the face to buy into a vision and then the city not following through. Many are still upset about paths through the ravine not being built, the plaza indefinite delay, one school being cancelled and the second's construction moving slowly, and no word on a house of worship yet. These were all outlined in the plan we bought in to. It is the city's responsibility and yours as our elected representative to implement it. The city has also not forced the developers to follow through on many of the beautification elements mentioned in the Executive Community Guidelines the city released as well as in SPA50. We are patient that these things may come in time, but if this proposal is approved, it will dramatically change the community design permanently.

We are currently working on a petition from the residents and I will share it with you in the coming weeks prior to the rescheduled planning meeting. I know you and former Councillor Sprovieri have been receptive to our past petitions and helpful, I hope you can advocate on our behalf again and keep us updated on your progress.

Thank you,

Sunny Dhillon

Vales of Humber Life

Homeowners Association



*Vales of
Humber Life*

Katyal, Himanshu

From: surjit deol <surjitdeol3@gmail.com>
Sent: 2020/03/20 5:13 PM
To: Katyal, Himanshu; Dhillon, Gurpreet - Councillor; Singh, Harkirat - Councillor; Maninder.Sidhu@parl.gc.ca
Subject: [EXTERNAL]File: OZS-2019-0014 - Ward 10 - Proposed Draft Plan of Subdivision

To whomever it may concern,

I hope you are doing well and staying safe. I have recently become aware of the new planning and development services by Georgian Humbervale Inc.,- Glen Schnarr & Associates. (File: OZS-2019-0014) - Ward 10. I am very surprised at how the city accepted the amendment to the green belt. Before this area developed, this zone was advertised as executive single-family detached homes. However, due to this new plan, the existing homeowners and the structure of the community will be negatively impacted since the new plan consists of townhomes and apartment units. It says that the reason for this build is to make it more accessible for people with needs I believe this is the wrong area and there are many other areas in Brampton that will be better suited for this plan. It also negatively affects our environment and the natural beauty of Brampton and a principle I thought you all would want to sustain instead of take advantage of to make more houses.

I strongly oppose to this new proposed plan and I know that many homeowners in my community would agree with my view.

Thanks,

Surjit

Katyal, Himanshu

From: Vinayak Rajadhyaksha <vinrealtor@yahoo.com>
Sent: 2020/03/30 7:16 PM
To: Katyal, Himanshu
Subject: [EXTERNAL]No construction of Townhouses

Follow Up Flag: Follow up
Flag Status: Completed

Hello Sir,

I would like to highlight issues / concerns of maintaing green space, more need for parks and recs as opposed to higher density housing.

We need our community (Vales of Humber - west of Mcvean) to be treated as a "upscale" community Brampton and not build Town houses / condos in this area.

Why are we not focussing on getting more businesses and corporate offices, so people can get good jobs here itself. That is an essential thing that this city badly needs.

I would appreciate if this is taken more seriously and addressed to its merits.

Thank you,

Sincerely,

Vinayak Rajadhyaksha

40 Gordon randle dr,
Brampton L6P4H
ON

[Sent from Yahoo Mail on Android](#)

Katyal, Himanshu

From: Dipak ZINZUWADIA <dipak@zinzu.com>
Sent: 2020/03/22 10:52 PM
To: Katyal, Himanshu; Singh, Harkirat - Councillor; Dhillon, Gurpreet - Councillor; Brown, Patrick - Mayor
Cc: premier@ontario.ca
Subject: Official Objection for [EXTERNAL]City File # OZS-2019-0014 Ward 10 and Cancel Public Meeting during Pandemic

To
Dear Himanshu/Councillors.

I was surprised how city can accept the amendment to the green belt. Is city aware of what type of homes does this area/block is having?

When our community was being developed our block was zoned and advertised as executive single family detached homes. There are no condominiums or town-homes in our block or the corresponding block. Now due to some developers plan for this belt, the structure, ambiance and environment of the whole block is proposed to be changed to the detriment of the existing homeowners.

I very strongly object to the proposed plan to change the zoning and developing of town-homes and condominiums in our area.

How can you propose a 5-storey condominium apartment, has any city member who is working on this draft been to our area. How could they not notice how this is going to negatively impact the existing homeowner's and the structure of this community. Is the greediness of the builders all that the city cares about???

The green belt is very important to nature and also to environment and it is the responsibility of the city Councillors to preserve it.

Even more shocking is the public notice of open house and public meeting on April 6th. Is Brampton not struggling with trying to keep COVID-19 at bay. When the whole of Canada and the whole world is talking about self-isolation and limiting public contact to the minimum, City of Brampton wants to hold a public meeting ????

There is so much stress and panic because of COVID-19 to every citizen and the city and the developers want to take advantage of this situation to get this plan approved???

I very very strongly recommend the proposed plan to be cancelled.

The public meeting has to be cancelled/postponed in view of current COVID-19 pandemic if the plan is not cancelled as every member of my community will want to attend the meeting to oppose the plan but cannot do so because of the pandemic of COVID-19.

Please provide me clarification for defining executive community in official plan. And Provide me justification of each subclause of class 4.2.2 of link

https://www.brampton.ca/EN/City-Hall/Official-Plan/Documents/Sept2015_Consolidated_OP.pdf

And detail calculation to justify Appendix A as per link

https://www.brampton.ca/EN/City-Hall/Official-Plan/Documents/Appendices/Appendix%20A_Density%20measures.pdf

I have more objections and questions regarding above file and will be asked up on answering above concerns.
Best regards and

Thanks
Dipak Zinzuwadia
2, Rockton court
Brampton
647 467 3629

Katyal, Himanshu

From: Hanish Chopra <hanishchopra@yahoo.com>
Sent: 2020/03/23 10:46 AM
To: Katyal, Himanshu
Cc: Singh, Harkirat - Councillor; Dhillon, Gurpreet - Councillor
Subject: [EXTERNAL]Public meeting

I strongly oppose the amendment for zoning to bring townhouse. I would like to suggest reschedule public meeting when corona pandemic is over.

Hanish Chopra
416-689-2077
Sent from my iPhone

IMPORTANT NOTICE: This message is intended only for the use of the individual or entity to which it is addressed, and may contain information that is privileged, confidential and exempt from disclosure under applicable law. If the reader of this message is not the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any dissemination, distribution or copying of this communication is strictly prohibited. If you have received this communication in error, please notify the sender immediately by email and delete the message. Thank you.

Katyal, Himanshu

From: Harmanveer Brar <brarharmanveer@gmail.com>
Sent: 2020/11/09 10:34 PM
To: Katyal, Himanshu
Subject: [EXTERNAL]OZS-2019-0014

Follow Up Flag: Follow up
Flag Status: Completed

Strongly Decline the proposal of Change of Land Use (CLU) City file number: OZS-2019-0014

This development has no sense to even be proposed in my neighborhood. It Is all detached single homes and a very quiet neighborhood this is unacceptable and does not even comply with the Vales of Humber secondary plan!!!!!!

People have paid high premiums to purchase homes in this Estate area will the city reimburse the premium that homeowners paid to live here? The smallest homes permitted are 2 car detached homes even semi-detached homes have no place here let alone townhouses or a condominium building this is not acceptable by any means and the city should not do a disservice to the hard-working people who bought homes here and approve this application.

Sincerely

Harmanveer Brar and The Residents of Vales of Humber

3 Anatolia Street Brampton ON L6P 4L3

Katyal, Himanshu

From: harminder Deol <harmin-deol@hotmail.com>
Sent: 2020/03/20 5:28 PM
To: Katyal, Himanshu; Dhillon, Gurpreet - Councillor; Singh, Harkirat - Councillor;
Maninder.Sidhu@parl.gc.ca
Subject: [EXTERNAL]File: OZS-2019-0014 - Ward 10 - Proposed Draft Plan of Subdivision

To whomever it may concern,

I hope you are doing well and staying safe. I have recently become aware of the new planning and development services by Georgian Humbervale Inc.,- Glen Schnarr & Associates. (File: OZS-2019-0014) - Ward 10. I am very surprised at how the city accepted the amendment to the green belt. Before this area developed, this zone was advertised as executive single-family detached homes. However, due to this new plan, the existing homeowners and the structure of the community will be negatively impacted since the new plan consists of townhomes and apartment units. It says that the reason for this build is to make it more accessible for people with needs I believe this is the wrong area and there are many other areas in Brampton that will be better suited for this plan. It also negatively affects our environment and the natural beauty of Brampton and a principle I thought you all would want to sustain instead of take advantage of to make more houses.

I strongly oppose to this new proposed plan and I know that many homeowners in my community would agree with my view.

Thanks,

Harminster Deol

Katyal, Himanshu

From: Joti Deol <Joti-Deol@hotmail.com>
Sent: 2020/03/20 5:10 PM
To: Katyal, Himanshu; Dhillon, Gurpreet - Councillor; Singh, Harkirat - Councillor;
Maninder.Sidhu@parl.gc.ca; Brown, Patrick - Mayor
Subject: [EXTERNAL]File: OZS-2019-0014 - Ward 10 - Proposed Draft Plan of Subdivision

To whomever it may concern,

I hope you are doing well and staying safe. I have recently become aware of the new planning and development services by Georgian Humbervale Inc.,- Glen Schnarr & Associates. (File: OZS-2019-0014) - Ward 10. I am very surprised at how the city accepted the amendment to the green belt. Before this area developed, this zone was advertised as executive single-family detached homes. However, due to this new plan, the existing homeowners and the structure of the community will be negatively impacted since the new plan consists of townhomes and apartment units. It says that the reason for this build is to make it more accessible for people with needs I believe this is the wrong area and there are many other areas in Brampton that will be better suited for this plan. It also negatively affects our environment and the natural beauty of Brampton and a principle I thought you all would want to sustain instead of taking advantage of to make more houses.

I strongly oppose to this new proposed plan and I know that many homeowners in my community would agree with my view as well.

Thanks,

Joti

Katyal, Himanshu

From: Familia Parada <paradafamily31@gmail.com>
Sent: 2020/11/10 7:15 PM
To: Brown, Patrick - Mayor
Cc: Katyal, Himanshu; Owusu-Gyimah, Cynthia
Subject: [EXTERNAL]Files OZS-2019-0014 & 21T-19023B

Follow Up Flag: Follow up
Flag Status: Completed

We, the residents of 31 Snaresbrook Crescent close to Mayfield and McVean, strongly disagree with the above noted project that envisions a high density residential development. We strongly believe in affordable housing for all and do not oppose to new developments in Brampton in general. Simply, as residents who invested into executive homes and have enjoyed the benefits of the open space and beautiful neighbourhood, strongly believe that this development would dilute our shared interests. Currently planned schools and infrastructure are not supporting this significant increase in population envisioned by such project. Both McVean and Mayfield are extremely congested during rush hour as these are often shared with trucks. The traffic would be a disaster and I anticipate that the use of side roads would increase significantly putting our school-aged kids in danger for the sake of a lucrative business. The development and related approval is not looking out for the interests of the area residents. Not a single person in the area that I have discussed this project with agrees with it.

Let's put the residents first instead of a profit taking corporation.

Jose and Denise Parada

Sent from my iPhone

Katyal, Himanshu

From: sodhijotvinder karamjot <sodhijotvinder@yahoo.ca>
Sent: 2020/08/29 7:09 PM
To: Arleigh Hack; Singh, Harkirat - Councillor; Katyal, Himanshu
Subject: [EXTERNAL]Virtual Meeting Tuesday September 1st for 6875 & 6889 Mayfield Road Brampton

Good evening Harkirat Singh- City Councillor Wards 9 & 10,

I would like to register for the Virtual Meeting Tuesday September 1st for 6875 & 6889 Mayfield Road Brampton as we completely reject their proposal to amend the existing/original proposal.

I am not sure how city blindly accepts applications from developers the left and right developed area during the pandemic when everyone was under high stress, worried.

We encourage city to designate the low density land on Hwy 7/Queen St. area where infrastructure can be provided.

Is there any planning or geographical division in city that looks at what type of homes can be built and the developers application can be rejected at city level.

Moreover this is good time for developers to get the application approved as due to this COVID situation most of the people who are poor in technology/computers, old or physically not fit cannot show in person as there is high risk of getting sick and no way for them to raise voice.

Further approvals, meetings should be on hold for indefinite time until the COVID situation is over.

Best Regards,
Jotvinder Sodhi
647-986-0011

Katyal, Himanshu

Subject: RE: [EXTERNAL]Re: Proposed Development Plan 6875 and 6889 Mayfiled - COVID ADVANTAGE TO DEVELOPERS _UNREST to PUBLIC

From: sodhijotvinder karamjot <sodhijotvinder@yahoo.ca>

Sent: 2020/09/11 11:18 AM

To: R Saini <saini_raj123@hotmail.com>; Katyal, Himanshu <Himanshu.Katyal@brampton.ca>; Singh, Harkirat - Councillor <Harkirat.Singh@brampton.ca>

Cc: Brown, Patrick - Mayor <Patrick.Brown@brampton.ca>; Caruso, Carmen <Carmen.Caruso@brampton.ca>; Parsons, Allan <Allan.Parsons@brampton.ca>; nitin_13@hotmail.com; baliyar.singh@gmail.com; Sodhijotvinder@yahoo.ca; epaper@thestar.ca; cp24app@bellmedia.ca; premier@ontario.ca; Owusu-Gyimah, Cynthia <Cynthia.OwusuGyimah@brampton.ca>; Prabmeet Sarkaria <prabmeet.sarkaria@pc.ola.org>; Amarjot Sandhu <amarjot.sandhu@pc.ola.org>

Subject: Re: [EXTERNAL]Re: Proposed Development Plan 6875 and 6889 Mayfiled - COVID ADVANTAGE TO DEVELOPERS _UNREST to PUBLIC

Good Morning,

Agree with the my friend Rajive and all the neighborhood! ***Why city planning is pushing an agenda during pandemic by leaving all other important priorities such as economic/reopening/covid cases/well being of taxpayers/employment opportunities/infrastructure/transit etc...***

Not sure why City of Brampton left COVID-19 priorities a side and start working on developers proposals. We need employment opportunities in Brampton to allow people live safely & with happiness.

This particular block is not safe to provide access from Mayfield Road which is already a unsafe road and everyday accidents.

Heavy traffic and increased construction both side of mayfield Brampton and Caledon side is adding additional load to safety of humans live in the area.

We had delegated yesterday on safety and brought this area in limelight of LAWLESSNESS.

We request planning to allow developers to build according to original approved plan of state homes with minimum 50 feet width.

Details has been provided in petition, virtual meeting and letter on behalf of residents.

We have cc'd to our **Hon. Minister Prabhmeet Singh Sarkaria & S. Amarjot Singh Sandhu MPP** to follow up with municipal government.

Best Regards,
Jotvinder Sodhi

Katyal, Himanshu

From: JP Dhillon <jdhillon@globallogisticscanada.com>
Sent: 2020/03/22 2:22 PM
To: Singh, Harkirat - Councillor; Dhillon, Gurpreet - Councillor; Katyal, Himanshu
Subject: [EXTERNAL]Regarding City file number: OZS-2019-0014

regarding Application to Amend the Official
Plan, Zoning By-law and
Proposed Draft Plan of
Subdivision.
City file number: OZS-2019-0014

I would like to voice the opinion of myself, family and neighbors regarding this proposal and let you know that I am strongly against it. I purchased my home in an area approved for Executive Single family detached homes and paid a large premium for that, I purchased in Brampton rather than Vaughan thinking that my family would live in a beautiful area surrounded by beautiful spacious homes.

If you approve the application this will no longer be true and my property value will decline sharply.

I understand the need for high density town homes in the great city of Brampton but I do think that putting those in your very limited number of executive areas will not serve the city of Brampton well, if this plan is approved I will be putting my home up for sale and moving to a city that better understands the needs of the different areas of their city.

I do hope you take into consideration that this area is home to a lot of small and large business owners that feel the same as I do and would be moving out of the peel region if this were to go forward.

Thanks your time and I do hope you come to the correct conclusion and choose what you believe to be best for the city.

Katyal, Himanshu

From: Marco Marcante <marco@royalpinehomes.com>
Sent: 2020/04/01 8:15 AM
To: Katyal, Himanshu
Subject: [EXTERNAL]Vales of Humber -Georgian Humbervale
Attachments: 20200318151947292.pdf; image001.png

Follow Up Flag: Follow up
Flag Status: Completed

Himanshu,

I attach a copy of the Public meeting notice that was issued by the City, in which you are the planner assigned to this file.

We have the parcel adjoining to this parcel (to the east), and we are inquiring as to what the City's position is on this file? I understand that you must wait until the public meeting, but the City still has their opinions based on the application submitted.

This has a significant impact on our proposed development and we would like to be notified of any important planning processes.

Thanks

Marco Marcante
Royal Pine Homes
3550 Langstaff Road, Suite 200
Woodbridge, Ontario, L4L 9G3
marco@royalpinehomes.com<mailto:marco@royalpinehomes.com>
tel: (416) 213-7181 ext 320
cell: (416) 568-0745
fax: (905) 856-6543

Katyal, Himanshu

From: R Saini <saini_raj123@hotmail.com>
Sent: 2020/08/18 1:12 PM
To: Katyal, Himanshu; Singh, Harkirat - Councillor
Cc: Brown, Patrick - Mayor
Subject: [EXTERNAL]Proposed Development Plan 6875 and 6889 Mayfiled - COVID ADVANTAGE TO DEVELOPERS

Hi Harkirat

I would appreciate if you please answer my below concern

I am not sure how city blindly accepts applications from developers the left and right developed area of the subject Land have only detached homes.

City need to see the block in which it is getting constructed, it have 99% of homes having 50 feet and more lot size. Why City want to make this area more densely populated?

There is some theme and value of the area and it is getting ruined by the city. When initially we were sold these homes it was advised by builder that this area is of detached homes now city is allowing builder to build townhomes etc.

I left Tobram and Sandawood area as the city forcibly allowed the low income town homes despite thousands of people were against it.

Is there any planning or geographical division in city that looks what type of homes can be build and the developers application can be rejected at city level.

Moreover this is good time for developers to get the application approved as due to this COVID situation most of the people who are poor in technology/computers, old or physically not fit cannot show in person as there is high risk of getting sick and no way for them to raise voice.

Further approvals, meetings should be on hold for indefinite time until the COVID situation is over.

Thanks
Rajeev

Katyal, Himanshu

Subject: RE: [EXTERNAL]Proposed Development Plan 6875 and 6889 Mayfiled - COVID ADVANTAGE TO DEVELOPERS

From: R Saini <saini_raj123@hotmail.com>

Sent: 2020/08/30 12:18 PM

To: Katyal, Himanshu <Himanshu.Katyal@brampton.ca>; Singh, Harkirat - Councillor <Harkirat.Singh@brampton.ca>

Cc: Brown, Patrick - Mayor <Patrick.Brown@brampton.ca>; Caruso, Carmen <Carmen.Caruso@brampton.ca>; Parsons, Allan <Allan.Parsons@brampton.ca>; nitin_13@hotmail.com

Subject: Re: [EXTERNAL]Proposed Development Plan 6875 and 6889 Mayfiled - COVID ADVANTAGE TO DEVELOPERS

Thanks Himanshu for your reply. I still have one concerns.

How city is making sure that the people who do not have access to technology can provide their inputs, by doing virtual meeting you are eliminating these people.

I don't think so this is a good way to move forward. Why these things cannot be taken after the pandemic to make everyone comfortable?

Thanks

Rajeev

Katyal, Himanshu

Subject: RE: [EXTERNAL]Proposed Development Plan 6875 and 6889 Mayfiled - COVID ADVANTAGE TO DEVELOPERS

From: R Saini <saini_raj123@hotmail.com>

Sent: 2020/09/01 3:26 PM

To: Katyal, Himanshu <Himanshu.Katyal@brampton.ca>; Singh, Harkirat - Councillor <Harkirat.Singh@brampton.ca>

Cc: Brown, Patrick - Mayor <Patrick.Brown@brampton.ca>; Caruso, Carmen <Carmen.Caruso@brampton.ca>; Parsons, Allan <Allan.Parsons@brampton.ca>; nitin_13@hotmail.com; balihar.singh@gmail.com

Subject: Re: [EXTERNAL]Proposed Development Plan 6875 and 6889 Mayfiled - COVID ADVANTAGE TO DEVELOPERS

Hi Himanshu

Can you please advise What is the Emergency in pushing this project in pandemic and giving undue favor to builder, **this is not related to EMERGENCY HOSPITAL that is required urgently to treat COVID patients.**

Do you really think all households in a family will have a dedicated phone line?

Instead of call in option, Can city go door to door to get the feedback?

If city is already aware that no one in this area is interested in this project than why city is pursuing it.

No one would like to get the greenbelt and theme of their area to get destroyed.

IT WILL BE LIKE BUILDING TOWNHOMES NEXT TO CASTLES/PALACE

Thanks

Rajeev

Katyal, Himanshu

Subject: RE: [EXTERNAL]Re: Proposed Development Plan 6875 and 6889 Mayfiled - COVID ADVANTAGE TO DEVELOPERS _UNREST to PUBLIC

From: R Saini <saini_raj123@hotmail.com>

Sent: 2020/09/11 10:42 AM

To: Katyal, Himanshu <Himanshu.Katyal@brampton.ca>; Singh, Harkirat - Councillor <Harkirat.Singh@brampton.ca>

Cc: Brown, Patrick - Mayor <Patrick.Brown@brampton.ca>; Caruso, Carmen <Carmen.Caruso@brampton.ca>; Parsons, Allan <Allan.Parsons@brampton.ca>; nitin_13@hotmail.com; baliyar.singh@gmail.com; Sodhijotvinder@yahoo.ca; epaper@thestar.ca; cp24app@bellmedia.ca; premier@ontario.ca; Owusu-Gyimah, Cynthia <Cynthia.OwusuGyimah@brampton.ca>

Subject: Re: [EXTERNAL]Re: Proposed Development Plan 6875 and 6889 Mayfiled - COVID ADVANTAGE TO DEVELOPERS _UNREST to PUBLIC

Hi Himanshu

If the application was already reviewed/approved/accepted by the city staff than there was no reason to call the informal meeting when you was already provided the Feedback through petition.

So if it was informal meeting and wanted to take residents feedback which city already have it, then why City and Honorable councilors does not deem it as irrelevant project and reject it at their end?

If it is out of scope of city and councilors to reject it. I have added Honorable Mayor and Honorable Premier in this email you can send our feedback to them and show them the plan as well, they can advise if this plan make sense or not and require formal meeting or not.

Rules are made for the public welfare not to support builders or to provide any undue favor to anyone.

It was clearly stated by the residents on the call that they do not want the overview of presentation but still it was given go-ahead **Why?** If it was informal than why it was mandatory to present.

As per your below email informal meeting was conducted to have the resident's feedback, can you please send the copy of the feedback?

Thanks
Rajeev

Katyal, Himanshu

Subject: RE: [EXTERNAL]Re: Proposed Development Plan 6875 and 6889 Mayfiled - COVID ADVANTAGE TO DEVELOPERS _UNREST to PUBLIC

From: R Saini <saini_raj123@hotmail.com>

Sent: 2020/09/11 10:42 AM

To: Katyal, Himanshu <Himanshu.Katyal@brampton.ca>; Singh, Harkirat - Councillor <Harkirat.Singh@brampton.ca>

Cc: Brown, Patrick - Mayor <Patrick.Brown@brampton.ca>; Caruso, Carmen <Carmen.Caruso@brampton.ca>; Parsons, Allan <Allan.Parsons@brampton.ca>; nitin_13@hotmail.com; balihar.singh@gmail.com; Sodhijotvinder@yahoo.ca; epaper@thestar.ca; cp24app@bellmedia.ca; premier@ontario.ca; Owusu-Gyimah, Cynthia <Cynthia.OwusuGyimah@brampton.ca>

Subject: Re: [EXTERNAL]Re: Proposed Development Plan 6875 and 6889 Mayfiled - COVID ADVANTAGE TO DEVELOPERS _UNREST to PUBLIC

Hi Himanshu

If the application was already reviewed/approved/accepted by the city staff than there was no reason to call the informal meeting when you was already provided the Feedback through petition.

So if it was informal meeting and wanted to take residents feedback which city already have it, then why City and Honorable councilors does not deem it as irrelevant project and reject it at their end?

If it is out of scope of city and councilors to reject it. I have added Honorable Mayor and Honorable Premier in this email you can send our feedback to them and show them the plan as well, they can advise if this plan make sense or not and require formal meeting or not.

Rules are made for the public welfare not to support builders or to provide any undue favor to anyone.

It was clearly stated by the residents on the call that they do not want the overview of presentation but still it was given go-ahead **Why?** If it was informal than why it was mandatory to present.

As per your below email informal meeting was conducted to have the resident's feedback, can you please send the copy of the feedback?

Thanks
Rajeev

Katyal, Himanshu

Subject: RE: [EXTERNAL]Re: Proposed Development Plan 6875 and 6889 Mayfiled - COVID ADVANTAGE TO DEVELOPERS _UNREST to PUBLIC

From: R Saini <saini_raj123@hotmail.com>

Sent: 2020/09/11 3:21 PM

To: Katyal, Himanshu <Himanshu.Katyal@brampton.ca>; Singh, Harkirat - Councillor <Harkirat.Singh@brampton.ca>

Cc: Brown, Patrick - Mayor <Patrick.Brown@brampton.ca>; Caruso, Carmen <Carmen.Caruso@brampton.ca>; Parsons, Allan <Allan.Parsons@brampton.ca>; nitin_13@hotmail.com; balihar.singh@gmail.com; Sodhijotvinder@yahoo.ca; epaper@thestar.ca; cp24app@bellmedia.ca; premier@ontario.ca; Owusu-Gyimah, Cynthia <Cynthia.OwusuGyimah@brampton.ca>

Subject: Re: [EXTERNAL]Re: Proposed Development Plan 6875 and 6889 Mayfiled - COVID ADVANTAGE TO DEVELOPERS _UNREST to PUBLIC

Hi Harkirat

As per below email from city "[the area Councillors deemed it appropriate to schedule an informal meeting](#)"

1. Can you advise why you think informal meeting was required despite you was well aware that the whole community was against it?
2. Did you visited the area in question and seen the properties left and right of the block?
3. It was clearly stated by the residents on the call that they do not want the overview of presentation but still it was given go-ahead Why? If it was informal than why it was enforced to residents to see the presentation when feedback was already provided by residents.
4. Why official letters were mailed to attend the informal meeting wasting the tax payer money?
5. Why a favor is provided to the builder? why you are compromising with the theme of the area and ignoring all the factors mentioned by Jotvinder. What advantage/benefit does it bring to you or city?

Thanks
Rajeev

Katyal, Himanshu

Subject: RE: [EXTERNAL]Re: Proposed Development Plan 6875 and 6889 Mayfiled - COVID ADVANTAGE TO DEVELOPERS _UNREST to PUBLIC

From: R Saini <saini_raj123@hotmail.com>

Sent: 2020/10/24 4:33 PM

To: Katyal, Himanshu <Himanshu.Katyal@brampton.ca>; Singh, Harkirat - Councillor <Harkirat.Singh@brampton.ca>; Vicente, Paul - Councillor <Paul.Vicente@brampton.ca>; Santos, Rowena - Councillor <Rowena.Santos@brampton.ca>; Palleschi, Michael - Councillor <Michael.Palleschi@brampton.ca>; Whillans, Doug - Councillor <Doug.Whillans@brampton.ca>; Bowman, Jeff - Councillor <Jeff.Bowman@brampton.ca>; Medeiros, Martin - Councillor <Martin.Medeiros@brampton.ca>; Fortini, Pat - Councillor <Pat.Fortini@brampton.ca>; Williams, Charmaine - Councillor <Charmaine.Williams@brampton.ca>; Dhillon, Gurpreet - Councillor <Gurpreet.Dhillon@brampton.ca>

Cc: Brown, Patrick - Mayor <Patrick.Brown@brampton.ca>; Caruso, Carmen <Carmen.Caruso@brampton.ca>; Parsons, Allan <Allan.Parsons@brampton.ca>; nitin_13@hotmail.com; balihar.singh@gmail.com; Sodhijotvinder@yahoo.ca; epaper@thestar.ca; cp24app@bellmedia.ca; premier@ontario.ca; Owusu-Gyimah, Cynthia <Cynthia.OwusuGyimah@brampton.ca>

Subject: Re: [EXTERNAL]Re: Proposed Development Plan 6875 and 6889 Mayfiled - COVID ADVANTAGE TO DEVELOPERS _UNREST to PUBLIC

Dear Himanshu, City Councilors, Mayor, Premier.

I would highly appreciate if you can pls provide response

1. **COVID** cases are on increase, **Brampton is on Stage 2 Lock down** and **City offices are all closed** **than why CITY is PUSHING this Project?**
2. Under which City guideline can you advise that city can setup the meeting in Pandemic?
3. Why? again, and again city pushing this project in **PANDEMIC** since march despite we have told 100 times that this should only proceed once the pandemic is over.

Enclosed are the few examples of property owners who strongly Object against this development plan.

Dear Owusu and Himanshu - Its now city responsibility to Cancel the meeting as enclosed are the few examples of property owners who does not have access to the technology and they cannot raise their voice against their development plan

If you still want to go ahead for this meeting than you have to go door to door of these property owners to get their Feedback.

COVID is not only City issue nor Province its National Issue. Can you pls take permission from Mr. Trudeau that you are allowed to harass people in this pandemic.

Thanks
Rajeev

Katyal, Himanshu

Subject: RE: [EXTERNAL]Re: Proposed Development Plan 6875 and 6889 Mayfiled - COVID ADVANTAGE TO DEVELOPERS _UNREST to PUBLIC

From: R Saini <saini_raj123@hotmail.com>

Sent: 2020/10/26 12:27 PM

To: Katyal, Himanshu <Himanshu.Katyal@brampton.ca>; Singh, Harkirat - Councillor <Harkirat.Singh@brampton.ca>; Vicente, Paul - Councillor <Paul.Vicente@brampton.ca>; Santos, Rowena - Councillor <Rowena.Santos@brampton.ca>; Palleschi, Michael - Councillor <Michael.Palleschi@brampton.ca>; Whillans, Doug - Councillor <Doug.Whillans@brampton.ca>; Bowman, Jeff - Councillor <Jeff.Bowman@brampton.ca>; Medeiros, Martin - Councillor <Martin.Medeiros@brampton.ca>; Fortini, Pat - Councillor <Pat.Fortini@brampton.ca>; Williams, Charmaine - Councillor <Charmaine.Williams@brampton.ca>; Dhillon, Gurpreet - Councillor <Gurpreet.Dhillon@brampton.ca>

Cc: Brown, Patrick - Mayor <Patrick.Brown@brampton.ca>; Caruso, Carmen <Carmen.Caruso@brampton.ca>; Parsons, Allan <Allan.Parsons@brampton.ca>; nitin_13@hotmail.com; balihar.singh@gmail.com; Sodhijotvinder@yahoo.ca; epaper@thestar.ca; cp24app@bellmedia.ca; premier@ontario.ca; Owusu-Gyimah, Cynthia <Cynthia.OwusuGyimah@brampton.ca>

Subject: Re: [EXTERNAL]Re: Proposed Development Plan 6875 and 6889 Mayfiled - COVID ADVANTAGE TO DEVELOPERS _UNREST to PUBLIC

Hi Brampton City

Please read the below email from TRCA, **still this piece of Land is not approved by TRCA** and the issues was not resolved.

Without TRCA approval and other Public agencies How city can plan and rush the meetings in this peak pandemic times. First city needs to make sure if that Land is suitable for development or not.

Lakes and Jungles cannot be dried or cut down to make condo/townhomes. Also, I highlighted one word in below email of TRCA?

I would appreciate if some Expert from city can explain the meaning?

What Benefits did city have in rushing this Project?

Please reply to my previous questions also send in earlier email

Thanks
Rajeev

From: Adam Miller <Adam.Miller@trca.ca>

Sent: Monday, October 26, 2020 10:43 AM

To: saini_raj123@hotmail.com <saini_raj123@hotmail.com>

Subject:RE: [EXTERNAL]Re: Proposed Development Plan 6875 and 6889 Mayfiled - COVID ADVANTAGE TO DEVELOPERS
_UNREST to PUBLIC

Good morning Rajeev,

The draft plan of subdivision was circulated to TRCA for review and comments as part of the City's typical review process. We have reviewed the application and provided comments to the City. At this time, our issues have not been resolved. TRCA's issues relate to floodplain hazards, stormwater management, geotechnical engineering and

ecology. To address our concerns, the applicant normally provides a resubmission through the City, which in turn is circulated to the various public agencies including TRCA for review. At present, we have not received a response from the applicant to our initial review comments.

I trust these comments are of assistance.

Thank you,

Adam

Katyal, Himanshu

To: R Saini
Subject: RE: [EXTERNAL]Re: Proposed Development Plan 6875 and 6889 Mayfiled - COVID ADVANTAGE TO DEVELOPERS _UNREST to PUBLIC

From: R Saini <saini_raj123@hotmail.com>

Sent: 2020/10/30 9:01 AM

To: Owusu-Gyimah, Cynthia <Cynthia.OwusuGyimah@brampton.ca>; Katyal, Himanshu <Himanshu.Katyal@brampton.ca>; Singh, Harkirat - Councillor <Harkirat.Singh@brampton.ca>; Vicente, Paul - Councillor <Paul.Vicente@brampton.ca>; Santos, Rowena - Councillor <Rowena.Santos@brampton.ca>; Palleschi, Michael - Councillor <Michael.Palleschi@brampton.ca>; Whillans, Doug - Councillor <Doug.Whillans@brampton.ca>; Bowman, Jeff - Councillor <Jeff.Bowman@brampton.ca>; Medeiros, Martin - Councillor <Martin.Medeiros@brampton.ca>; Fortini, Pat - Councillor <Pat.Fortini@brampton.ca>; Williams, Charmaine - Councillor <Charmaine.Williams@brampton.ca>; Dhillon, Gurpreet - Councillor <Gurpreet.Dhillon@brampton.ca>
Cc: Brown, Patrick - Mayor <Patrick.Brown@brampton.ca>; Caruso, Carmen <Carmen.Caruso@brampton.ca>; Parsons, Allan <Allan.Parsons@brampton.ca>; nitin_13@hotmail.com; balihar.singh@gmail.com; Sodhijotvinder@yahoo.ca; epaper@thestar.ca; cp24app@bellmedia.ca; premier@ontario.ca
Subject: Re: [EXTERNAL]Re: Proposed Development Plan 6875 and 6889 Mayfiled - COVID ADVANTAGE TO DEVELOPERS _UNREST to PUBLIC

Thanks a lot Owusu for you detailed response, still my few questions are not answered I would appreciate if you can answer below questions there is space left after each question for your answer

Question 1. Still this piece of Land is not approved by TRCA and the issues was not resolved, Without TRCA approval and other Public agencies How city can plan and rush the meetings in this peak pandemic times. First city needs to make sure if that Land is suitable for development or not. Can you please share other technical issues?

ANSWER BY CITY

QUESTION 2. Lakes and Jungles cannot be dried or cut down to make condo/townhomes. Also, I highlighted one word in below email of TRCA .(ECOLOGY)?
I would appreciate if some Expert from city can explain the meaning?

ANSWER BY CITY

QUESTION 3. This question just for my knowledge If in future I and My neighbor (having 50 feet lot each) both plan to demolish our houses and plan Executive Town/Condo there will city approve it? what will be the process to Amend the plan? As per my understanding as per PROVINCIAL law city will accept the plan and will conduct the public meeting to amend the existing planright?

ANSWER BY CITY

QUESTION 4. Enclosed Petition was already submitted to City in March by the residents which city already have in their records. Please advise if those Residents will be considered for meeting which is going to be held on Nov 16, 2020?

ANSWER BY CITY

Thanks
Rajeev

Katyal, Himanshu

From: R Saini <saini_raj123@hotmail.com>
Sent: 2020/03/19 11:01 PM
To: Katyal, Himanshu; Singh, Harkirat - Councillor; Dhillon, Gurpreet - Councillor
Cc: Singh, Harkirat - Councillor; Dhillon, Gurpreet - Councillor; Brown, Patrick - Mayor; premier@ontario.ca
Subject: [EXTERNAL]City File # OZS-2019-0014 Ward 10 - Public Meeting during Pandemic?
Follow Up Flag: Follow up
Flag Status: Completed

Dear Himanshu/Councillors

I was surprised how city can accept the amendment to the green belt. Is city aware of what type of homes does this area/block is having?

When our community was being developed our block was zoned and advertised as executive single family detached homes. There are no condominiums or town-homes in our block or the corresponding block.

Now due to some developers plan for this belt, the structure, ambiance and environment of the whole block is proposed to be changed to the detriment of the existing homeowners.

I very **strongly object** to the proposed plan to change the zoning and developing of town-homes and condominiums in our area.

How can you propose a 5-storey condominium apartment, has any city member who is working on this draft been to our area. How could they not notice how this is going to negatively impact the existing homeowner's and the structure of this community. Is the greediness of the builders all that the city cares about???

The green belt is very important to nature and also to environment and it is the responsibility of the city Councillors to preserve it.

Even more shocking is the public notice of open house and public meeting on April 6th. **Is Brampton not struggling with trying to keep COVID-19 at bay. When the whole of Canada and the whole world is talking about self-isolation and limiting public contact to the minimum, City of Brampton wants to hold a public meeting ????**

There is so much stress and panic because of COVID-19 to every citizen and the city and the developers want to take advantage of this situation to get this plan approved???

I very very strongly recommend the proposed plan to be cancelled.

The public meeting has to be cancelled/postponed in view of current COVID-19 pandemic if the plan is not cancelled as every member of my community will want to attend the meeting to oppose the plan but cannot do so because of the pandemic of COVID-19.

Thanks

Rajeev Saini

+1-416-399-3770

Katyal, Himanshu

From: Rosie Kaur <rosie.k1@hotmail.com>
Sent: 2020/10/27 9:57 AM
To: Katyal, Himanshu
Subject: [EXTERNAL]Vale of Humber Estates

Good Morning,

File: OZS-2019-0014

Hope you are keeping well during these concerning pandemic times.

Please do not continue with the planning for this File forth mentioned above because we just moved from Halton Hills to this Estate neighbourhood of Brampton due to the prestige and not a mixed type of homes - only large detached homes.

Please re-evaluate, as we paid significant equity to receive a sub-division that does not have Townhomes, Semi's or Apartment dwellings which will add congestion in the area.

Thanks,
R.

To: Katyal, Himanshu
Subject: RE: [EXTERNAL]New Nov 2020 Petition and postponing of Nov 16 Public meeting

From: R Saini < >

Sent: 2020/11/12 10:37 AM

To: Katyal, Himanshu <Himanshu.Katyal@brampton.ca>; Singh, Harkirat - Councillor <Harkirat.Singh@brampton.ca>; Brown, Patrick - Mayor <Patrick.Brown@brampton.ca>; Caruso, Carmen <Carmen.Caruso@brampton.ca>; Vicente, Paul - Councillor <Paul.Vicente@brampton.ca>; Santos, Rowena - Councillor <Rowena.Santos@brampton.ca>; Dhillon, Gurpreet - Councillor <Gurpreet.Dhillon@brampton.ca>; Medeiros, Martin - Councillor <Martin.Medeiros@brampton.ca>; Williams, Charmaine - Councillor <Charmaine.Williams@brampton.ca>; Whillans, Doug - Councillor <Doug.Whillans@brampton.ca>; Palleschi, Michael - Councillor <Michael.Palleschi@brampton.ca>
Cc:


Subject: [EXTERNAL]New Nov 2020 Petition and postponing of Nov 16 Public meeting

Dear Planners/Council

We got new **petition signed in Nov 2020, 488 respondents (March 2020, 390 respondents)** have shown **strong rejection** in this project and are **requesting to postpone Nov 16 meeting due to the Peak Pandemic in Brampton Regions**, many Residents do not have access to the technology to join this virtual meeting they are seeing help from each other to join this meeting, **now due to strict restrictions of gathering and guests allowed to entertain at home**, people will not able to join the meeting.

We request to halt the reviewing process until the Pandemic is over, unless you want to increase the COVID numbers in Brampton East which is the HOTTEST SPOT in Ontario.

Encloses are the March 2020 and Nov 2020 petition, TRCA response and below is the map of COVID cases in Brampton and our Area is in the highly affected area.



On Behalf Valves of Humber
 Regards
 Rajeev Saini
 Jotvinder Sodhi
 Nitin Arora

From:Adam Miller <Adam.Miller@trca.ca>

Sent:Monday, October 26, 2020 10:43 AM

To:

Subject:RE: [EXTERNAL]Re: Proposed Development Plan 6875 and 6889 Mayfiled - COVID ADVANTAGE TO DEVELOPERS
_UNREST to PUBLIC

Good morning Rajeev,

The draft plan of subdivision was circulated to TRCA for review and comments as part of the City's typical review process. We have reviewed the application and provided comments to the City. At this time, our issues have not been resolved. TRCA's issues relate to floodplain hazards, stormwater management, geotechnical engineering and ecology. To address our concerns, the applicant normally provides a resubmission through the City, which in turn is circulated to the various public agencies including TRCA for review. At present, we have not received a response from the applicant to our initial review comments.

I trust these comments are of assistance.

Thank you,

Adam

Please note that TRCA's Offices are presently closed to visitors. The plan input and review function continues during the Coronavirus pandemic. In order to reduce the potential of transmission, TRCA requests that development planning and permit applications and materials be submitted digitally in PDF format. Paper submissions are discouraged and may result in extended timeframes for review.

All digital submissions and documents can be submitted to the following e-mail addresses:

Enquiries/ applications within Peel Region municipalities – peelplan@trca.ca

Enquiries/ applications within York Region municipalities – yorkplan@trca.ca



Vales of Humber Life

Strongly Decline the proposal of Change of Land Use (CLU) City file number: OZS-2019- 0014

Re: Application to Amend the Official Plan, Zoning By-law and Proposed Draft Plan of Subdivision.

City file number: OZS-2019-0014

cc. Planning Department, City Council, Provincial/Federal representative, Media

Dear All,

Residents have already completely **Declined** this proposal of **Change of Land Use (CLU)** of townhouse/condo in Estate home neighborhood (between Mayfield-Gordan Randle and Mayfield-McVean). In March, 2020 during the peak of Pandemic the city had send the letter which after strong objection by all Residents (petition was signed by around 400 residents which is in city records) was postponed by city and than they have send the letter to support the builder, city councilor initiated informal meeting between builder and residents, and this was again rejected by resident. Between March and October 2020 residents have send number of letters to city for not to pursue these meeting in this extreme pandemic

In this peak pandemic where peel is considered hot spot and city is forcibly conducting this meeting despite examples have been send to city that many homeowners does not have access to the technology to join this virtual meeting.

People are requesting to cancel this meeting or they are planning to boycott or violate government rules by gathering many people together at the responsibility of city council and planning department, because both are forcing meeting on residents who have limited access to technology don't know what are their vested interest in this project. Key Points which need to be considered.

- A. This small piece of land sits on Ravine area which falls between Y shaped creeks under TRCA (conservation area) this piece of land required thorough investigation by TRCA and other public agencies. **TRCA already confirmed that they have raised the issues for this land** and they have already requested the resubmission through city for this they have not received any response.
- B. **This land has direct access to Mayfield road (UNSAFE) regional Road.** Building high dense community will pose life threatening risk to their life's for which city/planning and entire council will be responsible for any loss of life because there are already high number of accidents.
- C. **Destroying Ravines will pose high Environmental Issues** (deterioration of the environment through depletion of resources such as air, water and soil, the destruction of ecosystems, habitat destruction, the extinction of wildlife, and pollution).

List of Objections.

1. **People have paid high premiums to purchase the home in this Estate area**, so was the previous sales by builder was fraud sale? Will city reimburse the premium that home owner paid for this area?
2. This land has direct access to Mayfield road (UNSAFE) regional Road. Building high dense community will pose life threatening risk to their life's for which city/planning and entire council will be responsible for any lost life because there are already high increase of accidents.
3. Lack of infrastructure (school, access to public transit, roads, parks, employment opportunities) in this area to support low density

Sincerely

The Residents of Valves of Humber

Jotvinder Sohi

Nitin Arora

Rajeev Saini +



Vales of Humber Life

Signatures to the petition letter were collected electronically with **488 respondents**. Our community is clearly against any such change to the land use (CLU) of the Vales of Humber development and expect it be built out as the **planners had intended and shown before we purchased.**

Signed:

Name	Address
Baliyar singh	
Rajeev sains	
Jotvinder Sodhi	
Kulwinder Sodhi	
Yadwinder Dhanjal	
Daljit Gill	
Manjeet Trehan	
Peranandan thambiah and peranandan ruthirany	
Gurmail Gill	
Sanket Radadia	
hitesh mithaiwala	
Dharmika mithaiwala	
Sandeep Sehgal	
Kulwinder Sandhu	
Tajinder pal Singh sethi	
Karam Jit Kaur Sandhu	
CHHAGANBHAI	
Sam Sasan	
Vinayak Rajadhyaksha	
Atinuke Balogun	
Kinnari Gandhi	
Gurpreet Gill	
Edward Balogun	
Strongly declined this proposal	
Bela Rajadhyaksha	
Antara R	
Parminder Singh Sohi	
Gurwinder Singh	
Paras	
Shalu puri	



Vales of Humber Life

Iqbal Brar	
Sangeeta Kumar	
Decline	
Dipesh Patel	
Yatin Nagar	
Twinkle Patel	
Aaryak Rajadhyaksha	
Manisha Nagar	
Kuljeet bhanwer	
Amanpreet sangha	
Paramdeep sangha	
Nitin Arora	
Seema chopra	
Puneet	
Mitali	
Tanvir Lehal	
Adam Blodgett	
Rajesh Kumar	
K.L Arora	
Yogesh Bhavsar	
Ravinder	
Rajinder	
Gursharan Gill	
Balihar Singh	
Arushdeep gill	
Manjit shoker	
Puneet Chopra	
Janpal singh	
Raspreet Sidhu-Komal	
Harjinder Kaur	
Thayaparana Kumarasamy	
Nalayini Thayaparana	
Kobika Nadesapillai	
Tatiana sunder	
Bhimnauth sunder	
Bela Rajadhyaksha	
Harminder Kaur Deol	
Hanish Chopra	
Surjit Singh Deol	
Gurjot Kaur Deol	
Ivan escobar	
Amrit sidhu	
Charnpreet singh	
Harbinder sidhu	
goga	
Mahinga Singh	



Vales of Humber Life

Denise, José, Miriam and Milena Parada	
Bikramjit Dayed	
Vikramjit kaur dayed	
Adis	
Jasveer (Jaz) Kahlon	
Lakisha Ledchu	
Ledchumanan Shan	
Piritheeve Ledchu	
Kanisha Ledchu	
Gulzar Dabass	
Nirmaladevi Ledchu	
Bhavna Dabass	
Gurwinder Singh	
Manan Dabass	
Arshiya Dabass	
Mandeep Kaur	
Sarbjeet singh	
Ranjit saini	
Lovjinder Singh Kamboj	
Virendra Suryavanshi	
Harjot Klair	
Rajeev Nagill	
Amit parikh	
Rekha Nagill	
Amandeep	
Vickram Ramroop	
Vishal javia	
Melissa Ramroop	
Uma Sethi	
Rakesh Vjj	
YADWINDER Singh	
Supinder kailay	
Ketan Brahmbhatt	
Randhir kailay	
Farooq chaudhry	
Asma chaudhry	
Nadia chaudhry	
Muhammad chaudhry	
YADWINDER Singh	
Bharat Shinde	
Gurprit khangura	
Eric Richter	
Balwinder Khangura	
Sonia Richter	
Laila Roshan	
Sandeep lhangura	



Vales of Humber Life

Ramandeep khangura
Satnam Singh
Ada
Susan Blodgett
Nelson Ehimuan
Jessica
Ravan Sangha
Jasmail Singh
Jaswinder Kaur
Simran Sangha
Shaminder Kahlon
Anil Mehta
Bobby Kakkar
Sunita Mehta
Ramindar Kooner
Mandeep Kaur
Inderjit Singh
Vijay Mehta
Kulwinder K.Virk
Jaswinder singh
Balvinder kaur Singh
Rajesh Kumar
Gayitri Lakraj
Rajendra Lakraj
Andy Lakraj
Gurdip Saini
Ravinder Saini
Strongly opposing the change of land use proposal
Surinder Saini
Surjit Saini
Sumit handa
Inder siwia
Ranjit Randhawa
Harpreet Grewal
Dhirenkumar Parmar
Amandeep
Yadvinder singh Bawa
Harpreet
Amarjit kaur bawa
Richa parvinder bawa



Vales of Humber Life

Nikita Bawa	
Sukhjot Grewal	
Rajwinder Saini	
Mandeep Saini	
Simran Saini	
Reena Patel	
Meghan Saini	
Kuldeep Hans	
Shibu George	
Azad goyat	
Shaun Mathew	
Bhupinder mann	
Navroop mann	
Gurmail singh mann	
Iqbal Brar	
Dharmeshkumar patel	
Jyotsna Patel	
Gaurang Danak	
Vaidehi Patel	
Shivam patel	
Shaun Mathew	
Daljit Singh	
Mandeep Kullar	
Amarajit Singh	
Alpesh Patel	
Baljit kaur	
Harjeet Komal	
Gurjit	
Gaurang Danak	
Bijal	
Udaibirgill	
Kuldeep Gill	
Armaan	
Somabhai Patel	
Sukhdev Gill	
Lakhvir gill	
Neetu Gill	
Ashwin Gill	
Shireen Gill	
Amanpreet Gill	
Felix Nwaobilor	
Prakash	
Tanvir Lehal	
Jaspal Cheema	
Vikas	
Vikas	



Vales of Humber Life

Balvir singh thind	
Nasals	
Harpreet Brar	
Rupinderjit Brar	
Gurkomal Brar	
Harman Brar	
Harman Brar	
Harman Brar	
Adarsh Pal Gill	
Harmesh Saini	
Vimalkumar Patel	
Pawan duhan	
Sunil talwar	
Monika Talwar	
Adekunle Ogundijo	
Sahil	
Raghav	
Abhishek Priyadarshi	
Seun Ogundijo	
Taiwo O	
David O	
Major Hansra	
Harpreet toor	
Jaspreet toor	
Malkiat toor	
Jasdeep Bhondi	
Divyang	
Kaj raj	
Pravin Prajapati	
Pravin Prajapati	
Jasdeep Bhondi	
Ron sethi	
Kaj raj	
Bhaskar Joshi	
Soeb Delawala	
Shanmugam Mathinathan	
Dipak Zinzuwadia	
Dimple Zinzuwadia	
Suchi Zinzuwadia	
Shruti Zinzuwadia	
Shalu puri	
Harpreet Grewal	
Ramandeep khangura	
Sumeet sohi	
Rashimpreet sohi	



Vales of Humber Life

Parmjit kaur	
Dipika goyani	
Mickey Mouse	
Minnie Mouse	
Joe Momma	
Amitabh Bachan	
Dipika goyani	
Vimalkumar	
Falguni Patel	
Shoorvir Tomar	
Rajesh Trivedi	
Kauresh	
Dixit	
Harry	
Manpreet	
Vimal Patel	
Navneet Sohi	
Neetin Kalsi-Sohi	
Jasbir Sohi	
Falguni Patel	
YADWINDER Singh	
Hiren Vasa	
Vipul goyani	
Dipika goyani	
Baljinder Nagra	
Navam Goyani	
Keyur Mehta	
Angus Sitahal	
Rachelle Radhay's	
Sukh Dharni	
Kam Kaur	
Harmeet Taak	
Rajnish Saini	
Bushra handa	
Dharam pal handa	
Kamlesh handa	
Rakesh Upadhyay	
Prakash	
Mitesh Desai	
Harjeet Komal	
Sharanjeet Purba	
Vishal	
Ashinoor Taur	
Jaskaran Singh Taur	
Jasbir Singh	
Jasbir Taur	



Vales of Humber Life

Namit Lakhani	
Anjan Kamboj	
Kauresh	
Himmat	
Vaishali Kotadiya	
Jaggeet Kishore	
Jasdeep Kaler	
Janeth kishore	
Ajay Beniwal	
Baljeet Atwal	
Kulwant Chalotra	
Jaspal Chalotra	
Kartar Chalotra	
Manjeet Trehan	
Paresh Patel	
Bharati Patel	
Nirmaladevi Ledchu	
JUGAL Patel	
Disha Patel	
9059132227	
Baljeet Atwal	
Sangil Payel	
Sanjeev sheoran	
Jignesh patel	
Kiranben patel	
Ramanlal patel	
Gunavanti patel	
Rajnish Saini	
Harmeet Taak	
Jasdeep Kaler	
Dr Désiré NGAMBIA	
Sangil Payel	
Chetan Lakhani	
Rajesh Trivedi	
Muhammad chaudhry	
Janeesh gill	
Aastha	
Anand Yadav	
Parmjit Kamboj	
Kamalvir Singh Kamboj	
Surjit Kaur Kamboj	
Gurshan Singh Kamboj	
Yuvraj Singh Kamboj	



Vales of Humber Life

Nisha George	
Himmat Dhaliwal	
Satnam Singh Ghuman	
Sujata Yadav	
Aayush Yadav	
Aanshi Patel	
Aary Patel	
Rachelle Radhay's	
RAJKUMARI PARMAR	
Kalpana Patel	
Kalpana Patel	
Alkesh Patel	
Brigel Patel	
Swapnil Parmar	
Harjeet Komal	
Baljit Purba	
Desmond Amos	
Harshad	
Waseem Rafiq	
Nadia waseem	
Aisha Waseem	
Imtiaz Akhtar	
Harjeet Komal	
Salman Ahmad	
Brijesh	
Bipin Pandit	
David O	
Taiwo O	
Prashant Chaudhari	
Pinkyben Chaudhari	
Inder siwia	
Satnam Singh	
Dhwani Patel	
Jigesh Shah	
Niketa Shah	
Pinkyben Chaudhari	
Adis	
Ritu Arora	
Rinku Mehta	
Aayush Yadav	
Poonam sharma	
Satnam Singh	
Jasveer (Jaz) Kahlon	
Alex Jewanram	
Ritu Arora	
Mahendra Lad	



Vales of Humber Life

Parul	
Jayesh	
Babubhai	
Vasantben	
Poonam sharma	
Manisha Garlyal	
Baldev Garkyal	
Ranjit Randhawa	
Heena kapopara	
Imtiaz Akhtar	
Aayush Yadav	
Vasantben	
Uma Sethi	
Dr Solange Ngambia	
HARPREET SAINI	
Disha Patel	
Harinderpal Chuhan	
Davinder Chuhan	
Sandeep Chuhan	
Kartar Chalotra	
Praful	
Ramakanth Mannava	
Lavanya Thatha	
Reena Patel	
Gurshaan	
Seun ogundijo	
Parvaiz	
Lovejeet Singh	
Ravneet Kaur	
Sarabjeet	
Param	
Kuljeet sivia	
Dr Solange Ngambia	
Rajesh Pathak	
Supreet kailay	
Kamaljit Singh grewal	
Gurinder grewal	
Kamaljit Singh grewal	
Ryan wall	
Abdul Farhat	
Tabassum Farhat	
Farhat jamil	
Fahad farhat	
Omair Farhat	
Sameet Saini	



Vales of Humber Life

Parminderjit Saini
Push-ups Saini
Kamran Haidari
Moses Oyeleye
Kawalpreet Saini
Gurkaran Singh
Ankita Ghai
Sandeep Handa
Fadumo Adn
Munira Cisman
Kamran Haidari
Munisha Kakkar
Ajay Singh
Sarabjeet
Jitendra Dayani
Bharti Kaushal
Jayeshkumar Bagdawala
Jennifer Kusmic
Senad Kusmic
Manu Manher
Vandana Manher
Senad Kusmic
Joe Carpino
Jennifer Kusmic
Cindy Carpino
Chandir Ramani
F Chaudhry
M Chaudhry
Ravneet Kaur
Sarabjeet
Sarabjeet
Balvinder Singh Lall
Manpreet Sohi
Gurpal marara
Khush Lall
Gurpal marara
Harmanveer Brar
Jagmohan Brar
Ramanveer Brar
Sandeep Handa
Gurshaan
Imtiaz Akhtar
Jasbir rai
Rajwinder Dhesi
Baljit kaur
Satbir Singh



Vales of Humber Life

Satbir Singh		
Kulwinder Dhaliwal		
Jaspal Dhaliwal		
Lovjinder Kamboj		
Satbir Singh		
Parvaiz		
Sukhdeep bhangu		
Rajindar sagu		
Amrit sidhu		

To: Yad Dhanjal
Subject: RE: [EXTERNAL]Townhouses and Apartment Building Mcvean and Mayfield.

From: Yad Dhanjal < >
Sent: 2020/11/16 4:04 PM
To: Danton, Shauna <Shauna.Danton@brampton.ca>; City Clerks Office <City.ClerksOffice@brampton.ca>
Subject: [EXTERNAL]Townhouses and Apartment Building Mcvean and Mayfield.

Hello,

My name is Yadwinder Dhanjal and I live in the area between Mayfield and Countryside and west of McVean drive. I believe the city is considering to allow the builder make multi story residential apartments and town houses in the area. This area was/is suppose to be estate/executive houses only. How can the city allow this project? We pay premium property taxes for the location and then in return we have to remind our elected official to listen to their constituents and not be "yes" people for the builders.

I strongly disagree with this project that envisions a high density residential development. We strongly believe in affordable housing for all and do not oppose to new developments in Brampton in general. Simply, as residents who invested into executive homes and have enjoyed the benefits of the open space and beautiful neighbourhood, strongly believe that this development would dilute our shared interests. Currently planned schools and infrastructure are not supporting this significant increase in population envisioned by such project. Both McVean and Mayfield are extremely congested during rush hour as these are often shared with trucks. The traffic would be a disaster and I anticipate that the use of side roads would increase significantly putting our school-aged kids in danger for the sake of a lucrative business. The development and related approval is not looking out for the interests of the area residents. Not a single person in the area that I have discussed this project with agrees with it.

Let's put the residents first instead of a profit taking corporation.

Yadwinder Dhanjal

To: Katyal, Himanshu
Cc: Owusu-Gyimah, Cynthia; Singh, Harkirat - Councillor
Subject: RE: [EXTERNAL]Re: Proposed Development Plan 6875 and 6889 Mayfiled - COVID ADVANTAGE TO DEVELOPERS _UNREST to PUBLIC

From: R Saini

Sent: 2020/10/24 4:33 PM

To: Katyal, Himanshu <Himanshu.Katyal@brampton.ca>; Singh, Harkirat - Councillor <Harkirat.Singh@brampton.ca>; Vicente, Paul - Councillor <Paul.Vicente@brampton.ca>; Santos, Rowena - Councillor <Rowena.Santos@brampton.ca>; Palleschi, Michael - Councillor <Michael.Palleschi@brampton.ca>; Whillans, Doug - Councillor <Doug.Whillans@brampton.ca>; Bowman, Jeff - Councillor <Jeff.Bowman@brampton.ca>; Medeiros, Martin - Councillor <Martin.Medeiros@brampton.ca>; Fortini, Pat - Councillor <Pat.Fortini@brampton.ca>; Williams, Charmaine - Councillor <Charmaine.Williams@brampton.ca>; Dhillon, Gurpreet - Councillor <Gurpreet.Dhillon@brampton.ca>
Cc: Brown, Patrick - Mayor <Patrick.Brown@brampton.ca>; Caruso, Carmen <Carmen.Caruso@brampton.ca>; Parsons, Allan <Allan.Parsons@brampton.ca>; baper@thestar.ca; cp24app@bellmedia.ca; premier@ontario.ca; Owusu-Gyimah, Cynthia <Cynthia.OwusuGyimah@brampton.ca>

Subject: Re: [EXTERNAL]Re: Proposed Development Plan 6875 and 6889 Mayfiled - COVID ADVANTAGE TO DEVELOPERS _UNREST to PUBLIC

Dear Himanshu, City Councilors, Mayor, Premier.

I would highly appreciate if you can pls provide response

1. COVID cases are on increase, Brampton is on Stage 2 Lock down and City offices are all closed than why CITY is PUSHING this Project?
2. Under which City guideline can you advise that city can setup the meeting in Pandemic?
3. Why? again, and again city pushing this project in PANDEMIC since march despite we have told 100 times that this should only proceed once the pandemic is over.

Enclosed are the few examples of property owners who strongly Object against this development plan.

Dear Owusu and Himanshu - Its now city responsibility to Cancel the meeting as enclosed are the few examples of property owners who does not have access to the technology and they cannot raise their voice against their development plan

If you still want to go ahead for this meeting than you have to go door to door of these property owners to get their Feedback.

COVID is not only City issue nor Province its National Issue. Can you pls take permission from Mr. Trudeau that you are allowed to harass people in this pandemic.

Thanks
Rajeev

To
Himanshu Katyal
Brampton City

Date 2020-08-30

Subject :- Objection against Proposed Development Plan 6875 and 6889 Mayfield

We Senior people strong object the Proposed Development Plan 6875 and 6889 Mayfield, as we have Limited access to technology due to which most of us cannot be present online to raise our concerns against this proposal. These meeting and discussions should be stopped immediately and should be taken after the pandemics over in person.

Senior residents of Vales of humber community west.

Kuldeep Singh Dhanasa 647 243
Jaspal Singh Gill 905 500
MOHAN SINGH BARIYAR 6
DHARVIR CHHIBER 9
GURNAIL SINGH Gill 60
NIRMAL SINGH NAMBERBA 905
SUCHA SINGH
BHASJAN SINGH SHYETA 647
NACHHATAR SINGH
SURINDER SINGH CHHOKAR 611
DIYANNA SINGH GANDEHA 905
OPINDER DOEL 6
SANTOKH SINGH PAREWAL 905
HARBHANS SINGH SANGHA
SESEWA SHIN SANSI 647

HO SPAINCRES / 1/5 Sengha

SURINDER SENGOL
MOHINDER SINGH DAI
MAJOR SINGH BAWA
SUKHDEV ROTTAN
RANOHIR SINGH HAILA
PARAMJIT KAUR
JASDIP KAUR
Joginder Singh
Harmit Khera
DARSHAN SINGH TORA

March 15, 2021

Arleigh Hack, Planner
Glen Schnarr & Associates
ArleighH@gsai.ca

Re: Application to Amend the Official Plan, Zoning By-law, and Proposed Draft Plan of Subdivision (to permit the development of a mix of dwellings) - Glen Schnarr & Associates Inc. - Georgian Mayfield Inc. - File OZS-2019-0014 & 21T19023B

The following recommendation of the Planning and Development Committee Meeting of November 16, 2020 was approved by Council on November 25, 2020:

PDC127-2020

1. That the staff report re: **Application to Amend the Official Plan, Zoning By-law, and Proposed Draft Plan of Subdivision (to permit the development of a mix of dwellings) - Glen Schnarr & Associates Inc. - Georgian Mayfield Inc. - File OZS-2019-0014 & 21T19023B** to the Planning and Development Committee Meeting of November 16, 2020, be received;
2. That Planning, Building and Economic Development staff be directed to report back to the Planning and Development Committee with the results of the Public Meeting and a staff recommendation, subsequent to the completion of the circulation of the application and a comprehensive evaluation of the proposal;
3. That the following delegations re: Application to Amend the Official Plan, Zoning By-law, and Proposed Draft Plan of Subdivision (to permit the development of a mix of dwellings) - Glen Schnarr & Associates Inc. - Georgian Mayfield Inc. - File OZS-2019-0014 & 21T19023B, to the Planning and Development Committee Meeting of November 16, 2020, be received:
 1. Jason Afonso, Glen Schnarr & Associates Inc.
 2. Hoang Nguyen, Brampton resident
 3. Rajeev Saini, Brampton resident
 4. Jotvinder Sodhi, Brampton resident
 5. Balihar Singh, Brampton resident
 6. Sanket Radadia, Brampton resident

4. That the following correspondence re: Application to Amend the Official Plan, Zoning By-law, and Proposed Draft Plan of Subdivision (to permit the development of a mix of dwellings) - Glen Schnarr & Associates Inc. - Georgian Mayfield Inc. - File OZS-2019-0014 & 21T19023B, to the Planning and Development Committee Meeting of November 16, 2020, be received:
1. Rajeev Saini, Brampton resident, dated October 24, 2020, including a petition of objection containing approximately 25 signatures
 2. Rajeev Saini, Brampton resident, dated November 12, 2020, including a petition of objection containing approximately 488 signatures
 3. Simran Deol, Brampton resident, dated March 20, 2020
 4. Sanket Radadia, Brampton resident, dated March 20, 2020 and November 10, 2020
 5. Shaminder Kahlon, Brampton resident, dated March 10, 2020
 6. Sukh Dharni, Brampton resident, dated October 26, 2020
 7. Sunny Dhillon, Brampton resident, dated March 22, 2020
 8. Surjit Deol, Brampton resident, dated March 20, 2020
 9. Vinayak Rajadhyaksha, Brampton resident, dated March 30, 2020
 10. Dipak Zinzuwadia, Brampton resident, dated March 22, 2020
 11. Hanish Chopra, Brampton resident, dated March 23, 2020
 12. Harmanveer Brar, Brampton resident, dated November 9, 2020
 13. Harminder Deol, Brampton resident, dated March 20, 2020
 14. Joti Deol, Brampton resident, dated March 20, 2020
 15. Jose and Denise Parada, Brampton residents, dated November 10, 2020
 16. Jotvinder Sodhi, Brampton resident, dated August 29, 2020 and September 11, 2020
 17. JP Dhillon, Brampton resident, dated March 22, 2020
 18. Marco Marcante, Royal Pine Homes, dated April 1, 2020

19. Rajeev Saini, Brampton resident, dated August 18, 2020, August 30, 2020, September 1, 2020, September 11, 2020, October 24, 2020, October 26, 2020, and October 30, 2020

20. Rosie Kaur, Brampton resident, dated October 27, 2020

21. Yadwinder Dhanjal, Brampton resident, dated November 16, 2020

Yours truly,

Shauna Danton

Shauna Danton
Legislative Coordinator
City Clerk's Office
Tel: 905-874-3952 Fax: 905-874-2119
e-mail: shauna.danton@brampton.ca

(PDC- 5.1, 6.2, 11.2)

cc: Regional Councillor Gurpreet Dhillon
City Councillor Harkirat Singh
A. Parsons, Director, Planning, Building and Economic Development
C. Owusu-Gyimah, Manager, Planning, Building and Economic Development
H. Katyal, Development Planner, Planning, Building and Economic Development
Jason Afonso, Glen Schnarr & Associates, sent via email – jasona@gsai.ca
Hoang Nguyen, sent via email
Rajeev Saini, sent via email
Jotvinder Sodhi, sent via email
Balihar Singh, sent via email
Sanket Radadia, sent via email