Hi, Tammi

I am sending the email for a record purpose to raise my concerns.

Thanks

Lili Zhang

_____

Hi, Angelo

First I 'd like to raise my concerns focusing on fairness and financial impact to our house value due to the city 's inconsistent policy.

 If the city sets one area as low density in one year , which people based on to make purchase decision, then next year, the city changed mind to make the area as high density.   Is this fair to people who made decisions based on existing city 's policy. Can we trust city 's any policy when we made decision?

I 'd like to share my story. When I decided to purchase my house in the area, I called the city and wanted to ensure what will be in the future behind my backyard. The city told me that they would be executive single houses. Same as my neighbours who just purchased houses this year and paid $300,000 premium for the beautiful treed environment based on the city 's policy.

The city now is breaking its promise by building high rise in the existing low density neighborhood.   With the high risk building right behind our backyard or beside our community gateway, the house value will be negatively impacted per real estate agents or my current selling experience where potential buyers asked for huge discount in price for reason of buildings behind our backyard . Is this fair to our existing neighbours who made purchase decision based on the city 's low density policy ? Who will compensate the affected residents?   City or developers?

If anyone or entity has done something and damaged others, we all know that is not right.   My questions are:

1.   If the city has done something that damages us financially, is the city accountable for it?
2. Can we trust or rely on the city 's any policy in the future when we make decision?
3. Is the city accountable for its policy?

In this executive neighbourhood, we have a lot of business people, CEO or CFO etc. With the density policy change, some are thinking to move out. We know all cities want to attract business and talents, not drive them away.

Secondly I have concern on site selection: the city is building high rise buildings in scattered spots, rather than in downtown, commercial center, transit center or employment center. In the future, all communities regardless will see incompatible buildings around. Is this the city we want to see? Site selection should match existing style of community or near a commercial, employment or transit center.

We want to build better communities or city, rather than high buildings scattered everywhere without a long term plan. I don't oppose high density. But in the name of affordable housing, it is not right to scatter buildings in a small area do not match the community. The site selection should not compromise too many residents