



Filing Date: September 11, 2023 Hearing Date: October 3, 2023

**File:** B-2023-0024

Owner/ FIRST GULF BUSINESS PARK INC.

**Applicant:** First Gulf Corporation c/o Nicole Guadagnoli

Address: 535-547 Steeles Avenue East and 59 First Gulf Boulevard

Ward: WARD 3

**Contact:** Megan Fernandes, Planning Technician

#### **Proposal:**

The purpose of the application is to request consent to re-establish separate properties arising from a merger of adjacent lots. The severed property has a frontage of approximately 114.85 metres (376.80 feet), a depth of approximately 230.06 metres (754.79 feet) and an area of approximately 26,170 square metres (6.47 acres). It is proposed that the properties municipally known as 535-547 Steeles Avenue East and 59 First Gulf Boulevard, each occupied by industrial and commercial buildings be re-established as individual properties.

#### **Recommendations:**

That application B-2023-0024 is supportable, subject to the following conditions being imposed:

- 1. A Secretary-Treasurer's certificate fee shall be paid, in the amount current at the time of the issuance of the Secretary-Treasurer's Certificate; and,
- 2. Approval of the draft reference plan(s), as applicable, shall be obtained at the Committee of Adjustment office, and the required number of prints of the resultant deposited reference plan(s) shall be received.

#### **Background:**

- Official Plan: The subject properties are designated 'Industrial and Business Corridor' in the Official Plan;
- Secondary Plan: The subject properties are designated 'Mixed Employment Commercial Special Site Area 5 and 8' (535 Steeles Ave E) and 'Prestige Employment Special Site Area 9' (59 First Gulf Blvd) in the Highway 410 and Steeles Secondary Plan (Area 5): and



## Report Committee of Adjustment

Zoning By-law: The subject properties are zoned 'Industrial Four – Special Section 2836 (M4 - 2836)' for the property located at 535-547 Steeles Ave E and 'Industrial Four – Special Section 2678 (M4 – 2678) for the property located at 59 First Gulf Boulevard according to By-Law 270-2004, as amended.

#### **Current Situation:**

The applicant has submitted a consent to sever application to re-establish two separate parcels of land at the properties municipally addressed as 535-547 Steeles Avenue East & 59 First Gulf Boulevard. Properties may in law 'merge in title' and become one property for property assessment purposes when two or more abutting properties come under a single ownership, which has occurred in this instance. In relation to the subject properties, a by-law exempting the operation of the part lot control provisions of the Planning Act of Ontario was previously in effect, however this by-law has been repealed causing the titles of the lands to subsequently merge. Each lot is occupied by industrial and commercial buildings, the application is not proposing any new development on the lot. This consent application will sever the parcels from single ownership, returning the subject properties to two individual parcels. Staff have undertaken a review of this proposal, relative to the provisions prescribed within Section 51(24) of the Planning Act (as summarized on Schedule "A" attached to this report) and advise that the proposed consent application is considered to represent proper and orderly planning and can be supported from a land use perspective.

Respectfully Submitted,

Megan Fernandes

Megan Fernandes, Planning Technician



#### **SCHEDULE "A"**

### <u>CRITERIA TO BE CONSIDERED UNDER SECTION 53(12) & 51(24) OF THE PLANNING ACT</u>

	CRITERIA TO BE CONSIDERED	ANALYSIS
a)	The effect of development of the proposed subdivision on matters of provincial interest:	The proposed severance has no effect on matters of provincial interest.
b)	Whether the proposal is premature or in the public interest;	The proposed severance is neither premature nor contrary to any matters of public interest.
c)	Whether the plan conforms to the official plan and adjacent plans of subdivision, if any;	The proposed severance does not present any concern with regard to the Official Plan or adjacent plans of subdivision.
d)	The suitability of the land for the purposes for which it is to be subdivided;	The proposed severance is suitable for the purposes for which it is to be subdivided.
e)	The number, width, location and proposed grades and elevations of highways, and the adequacy of them, and the highways linking the highways in the proposed subdivision with the established highway system in the vicinity and the adequacy of them;	The proposed severance does not present any concern with regard to the adequacy of the roadwork network.
f)	The dimensions and shapes of the proposed lots;	The shape and dimension of the proposed lot is appropriate and maintains all minimum Zoning By-law requirements.
g)	The restrictions or proposed restrictions, if any, on the land proposed to be subdivided; or the buildings or structures proposed to be erected on it and the restrictions, if any, on adjoining land;	No concerns are noted with regard to restrictions on the lands included in the lands to be subdivided.
h)	The conservation of natural resources and flood control;	The proposed severance presents no concerns with regard to flood control and the conservation of natural resources.
i)	The adequacy of utilities and municipal services;	There are no concerns with regard to the adequacy of utilities and municipal services.
j)	The adequacy of school sites;	The proposed severance presents no concerns with regard to the adequacy of school sites.



# Report Committee of Adjustment

k)	The area of land, if any, within the proposed subdivision that, exclusive of highways, is to be conveyed or dedicated for public purposes;	No conveyance of lands are required.
l)	The extent to which the plan's design optimizes the available supply, means of supplying, efficient use and conservation of energy	The proposed severance has no impact on matters of energy conservation.
m)	to any development on the land, if the land is also located within a site plan control area	No new development is being proposed in this application. There are no concerns related to the design of the proposal and matters of Site Plan Control under the Planning Act.